

HOW TO REPAIR TROPICALIZATIONS OF PLANE CURVES USING MODIFICATIONS

MARIA ANGELICA CUETO AND HANNAH MARKWIG[§]

ABSTRACT. Tropical geometry is sensitive to embeddings of algebraic varieties inside toric varieties. The purpose of this paper is to advertise tropical modifications as a tool to locally repair bad embeddings of plane curves, allowing the re-embedded tropical curve to better reflect the geometry of the input curve. Our approach is based on the close connection between analytic curves (in the sense of Berkovich) and tropical curves. We investigate the effect of these tropical modifications on the tropicalization map defined on the analytification of the given curve.

Our study is motivated by the case of plane elliptic cubics, where good embeddings are characterized in terms of the j -invariant. Given a plane elliptic cubic whose tropicalization contains a cycle, we present an effective algorithm, based on non-Archimedean methods, to linearly re-embed the curve in dimension 4 so that its tropicalization reflects the j -invariant. We give an alternative elementary proof of this result by interpreting the initial terms of the A -discriminant of the defining equation as a local discriminant in the Newton subdivision.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tropical geometry is a piecewise-linear shadow of algebraic geometry that preserves important geometric invariants. Often, we can derive statements about algebraic varieties by means of these (easier) combinatorial objects. One general difficulty in this approach is that the tropicalization strongly depends on the embedding of the algebraic variety. Thus, the task of finding a suitable embedding or repairing a given “bad” embedding to obtain a nicer tropicalization becomes essential for many applications. The purpose of this paper is to advertise tropical modifications as a tool to locally repair embeddings of plane curves, as suggested by Mikhalkin in his ICM 2006 lecture [17].

An important and motivating example is the case of elliptic curves. In [17, Example 3.15], Mikhalkin proposed the cycle length of a tropical plane elliptic cubic to be the tropical counterpart of the classical j -invariant. Inspired by this remark and using Gröbner fan techniques, Katz, Markwig and the second author proved that when the elliptic cubic is defined over the Puiseux series field, the valuation of the j -invariant is generically reflected on the cycle length of the tropical curve [15]. For special choices of coefficients, this length can be shorter than expected. These non-generic situations have a very explicit characterization. First, the cycle in the tropical curve must contain a vertex of valency at least four, and second, the initial form of the discriminant of the cubic must vanish. Thus, in the case of plane elliptic cubics, or more generally, for elliptic curves embedded smoothly into a toric surface, the question of what constitutes a good embedding from the tropical perspective has a precise answer: the cycle length should reflect the negative valuation of the j -invariant.

One of the main contributions of the present paper is an algorithm that recursively repairs bad embeddings when the tropical plane elliptic cubic contains a cycle. The power of Algorithm 1 lies in its simplicity: it only uses linear tropical modifications of the plane, and linear re-embeddings of the original curve. Furthermore, this result is achieved in dimension 4. This approach has an additional advantage. Rather than drastically changing the polyhedral structure of the input tropical curve,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14T05, 51M20, 14H52, 14G22.

Key words and phrases. Tropical geometry, tropical modifications, Berkovich spaces, elliptic curves, discriminants.

[§] *Corresponding author.*

it keeps its relevant features. It only adds missing edges and changes tropical multiplicities. The output tropical curve has the expected cycle length. We view this as a possibility to “locally repair” the problematic initial embedding.

The case of elliptic curves suggests itself as a playground for uncovering the deep connections between Berkovich’s theory, tropicalizations, and re-embeddings. More concretely, let X be a smooth elliptic curve and $\mathcal{X}$ be a semistable regular model of X over a discrete valuation ring. Let us assume that X has bad reduction. Then, the minimal Berkovich skeleton of the complete analytic curve $\widehat{X}^{\text{an}}$ is homotopic to a circle and it can be obtained from the dual graph of the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}$. Foundational work of Baker, Payne and Rabinoff proves that when the embedding induces a faithful tropicalization on the cycle, the length appearing in the minimal Berkovich skeleton induced by its canonical metric equals the corresponding lattice length in the tropicalization of X [3, Section 6]. Notably, [3, Section 7] provides examples where the cycle in a tropicalization of a smoothly embedded elliptic curve is shorter, or longer, than the negative valuation of the j -invariant. Good embeddings of elliptic curves with bad reduction are those where the minimal skeleton of the complete analytic curve is reflected in the associated tropical curve.

Characterizing good embeddings of curves in terms of their minimal Berkovich skeleta has one clear advantage compared to the study of tropicalizations: it is intrinsic to the curve. Work of Payne shows that the Berkovich space X^{an} is the limit of all tropicalizations of X with respect to closed embeddings into quasiprojective toric varieties (see [18, Theorem 4.2]). We view X^{an} as a topological object incorporating all choices of embeddings.

After the investigation by Baker, Payne and Rabinoff [3], the meaning of suitable embeddings of curves for tropicalization purposes becomes precise: they should induce *faithful tropicalizations*. That is, the corresponding tropical curve must be realized as a closed subset of X^{an} , and this identification should preserve both metric structures. Faithful tropicalizations of Mumford curves of genus 2 have been recently studied by Wagner in [21]. In the case of plane elliptic cubics, we can reinterpret the main result of [15] in the language of Berkovich’s theory by saying that the tropicalization to a 3-valent cubic is always faithful on the cycle. This follows from the fact that all edges in a tropical cubic with a cycle have multiplicity 1, see [3, Theorem 6.24 and 6.25].

Two natural questions arise from the previous discussion. First, can we effectively construct embeddings of a given curve that induce faithful tropicalizations? Can we do so without computing a minimal Berkovich skeleton of the complete curve? Again, the case of elliptic cubics is a fantastic playground for exploring this question, since the faithfulness on its cycle can easily be characterized in terms of the j -invariant. Following this approach, Chan and Sturmfels described a procedure to put any given plane elliptic cubic with bad reduction into honeycomb form [9]. The honeycomb form is 3-valent and has edges of multiplicity 1, hence it induces a faithful tropicalization and the cycle has the expected length. Although running in exact arithmetic, their method involves the resolution of a univariate degree 6 equation. Each solution is expressed as a Laurent series in the sixth root of the multiplicative inverse of the j -invariant. The solution is constructed recursively, one term at a time. This re-embedding completely alters the structure of the original tropical curve.

In contrast, our approach allows us to give a positive and effective answer to the questions above. Our algorithm to repair embeddings of plane elliptic cubics relies on methods we develop in Section 3 for arbitrary plane curves. Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 allow us to locally repair certain embeddings of curves using a linear tropical modification of the plane. They should be viewed as a partial answer to the questions above. They hold under certain constraints imposed by the local topology of the input tropical curve. Nonetheless, these two technical results suffice to completely handle the case of plane elliptic cubics. As a byproduct, we enrich Payne’s result [18, Theorem 4.2] for plane elliptic cubics connecting the Berkovich space to the limit of all tropicalizations by a concrete procedure that gives the desired tropically faithful embedding using only linear tropical modifications of the plane. Our experiments in Section 5 suggest that the techniques introduced

in this paper may be extended to other combinatorial types of tropical curves, although new ideas will be required to generalize Theorems 3.2 and 3.4.

We have mentioned already that A -discriminants of cubic polynomials play a key role when studying the j -invariant of a plane elliptic cubic. In the same spirit, Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 also involve “local discriminants” associated to certain maximal cells in the Newton subdivision of the input plane curve. In Section 4.3 we derive Algorithm 1 in an elementary fashion, by relating the global discriminant of the cubic to the local discriminants mentioned above. This is the content of Corollary 4.18. Theorem 4.15 provides a factorization formula for initial forms of discriminants of planar configurations. We expect this result to have further applications besides Algorithm 1.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1, we introduce notation and discuss background on tropicalizations, modifications and linear re-embeddings. In Lemma 2.2, we characterize linear re-embeddings of plane curve induced by tropical modifications along straight lines in terms of charts and coordinates changes of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Thus, we can visualize the repaired embeddings by means of collections of tropical plane curves. In Sections 2.2 and 2.3 we discuss preliminaries involving Berkovich skeleta and A -discriminants, which play a prominent role in our study.

In Section 3, we present our two main technical tools to locally repair embeddings of smooth plane curves by linear re-embeddings. Our proof builds upon Berkovich’s theory, A -discriminants of plane configurations, and Lemma 2.2. By using linear tropical modifications and coordinate changes of $\mathbb{R}^2$, the tropical re-embedded curve will faithfully represent a subgraph of a skeleton of the analytic curve induced by its set of punctures.

In Section 4, we focus our attention on plane elliptic curves and present Algorithm 1. We provide two independent proofs of its correctness. The first one relies on the techniques developed in Section 3 and is discussed in Section 4.2. The second one is elementary: it is based purely on discriminants of plane configurations. We present it in Section 4.3. The main result of this section is Theorem 4.15, which relates global and local discriminants of planar point configurations.

In Section 5 we provide several experimental evidence to support the use of our repairing techniques in examples that are not covered by Theorems 3.2 and 3.4. We view this last section as a motivation to further study this topic.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND MOTIVATION

We work with ideals I defining irreducible subvarieties of a torus and denote their *tropicalizations* by $\text{Trop}(I)$ (see e.g. [16]). Throughout this paper we often work with complete curves and their minimal Berkovich skeleta. For this reason, we always consider our ideals inside honest polynomial rings rather than Laurent polynomial rings. For tropicalization, we consider the intersection of the curve with the algebraic torus in the given embedding.

For concrete computations, we fix the field of *generalized Puiseux-series* $\mathbb{C}\{\{t^{\mathbb{R}}\}\}$, with valuation taking a series to its leading exponent. For tropicalizations, we use the negative of the valuation, i.e. the *max-convention*. We denote our algebraic coordinates by x, y, z , whereas we indicate the tropical coordinates by X, Y, Z . We use analogous conventions in higher dimensions.

2.1. Tropical modifications and linear re-embeddings. Tropical modifications appeared in [17] and have since then found several interesting applications, e.g. [1, 2, 7, 19]. Here, we concentrate on modifications of the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ along linear divisors.

Let $F = \max\{A, B + X, C + Y\}$ be a linear tropical polynomial with A, B and C in $\mathbb{TR} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$. The graph of F considered as a function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ consists of at most three linear pieces. At each break line, we attach two-dimensional cells spanned in addition by the vector $(0, 0, -1)$ (see e.g. [1, Construction 3.3]). We assign multiplicity 1 to each cell and obtain a balanced fan in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is called the *modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along F* .

Let $f = a + bx + cy \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t^{\mathbb{R}}\}\}[x, y]$ be a lift of F , i.e. $-\text{val}(a) = A$, $-\text{val}(b) = B$ and $-\text{val}(c) = C$. We fix an irreducible polynomial $g \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t^{\mathbb{R}}\}\}[x, y]$ defining a curve in the torus $(\mathbb{C}\{\{t^{\mathbb{R}}\}\})^2$. The




FIGURE 1. A special re-embedding of the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ with respect to $f = x + t$ together with the projections π_{XY} and π_{ZY} . The original curve is drawn at the bottom, whereas a new curve $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ appears on the right. The central picture shows the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ in the modified plane.

tropicalization of $I_{g,f} = \langle g, z - f \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}\{\{t^{\mathbb{R}}\}\}[x, y, z]$ is a tropical curve in the modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along F . We call it the *linear re-embedding* of the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ with respect to f .

For almost all lifts f , the linear re-embedding coincides with the modification of $\text{Trop}(g)$ along F , i.e. we only bend $\text{Trop}(g)$ so that it fits on the graph of F and attach some downward ends. However, for some choices of lifts f , the part of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ in the cells of the modification attached to the graph of F contains more attractive features. We are most interested in these special linear re-embeddings. The following example illustrates this phenomenon.

Example 2.1. We fix a plane elliptic cubic defined by

$$g(x, y) = -t^2x^3 + t^{200}x^2y + (t^2 + t^4)xy^2 + t^{14}y^3 + (-3t^3 - t^{200})x^2 + (t^3 + t^5 - t^6 + t^{12} - t^{202})y^2 \\ + (1 + 2t^{201})xy + (-3t^4 + t^{200} - 2t^{201})x + (t + t^2 + t^{202})y + (2t^2 - t^5 + t^{201} - t^{202}).$$

We aim to modify the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ along the vertical line $X = -1$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. This line corresponds to a tropical polynomial $F = \max\{-1, X\}$. Its lifting f is of the form $f = x + \zeta t$ where $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$ has valuation 0. The tropicalization $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ depends only on the initial coefficient of ζ . Indeed, unless this coefficient is one, this tropical curve coincides with the modification of $\text{Trop}(g)$ along F . Figure 1 shows the special linear re-embedding when $\zeta = 1$. $\diamond$

Our main focus in Section 3 will be on modifications of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along vertical lines. These modifications are induced by tropical polynomials of the form $F = \max\{X, l\}$, with $l \in \mathbb{Q}$. Their liftings

are of the form $f = x + \zeta t^{-l}$ where $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$ has valuation 0. As we see in Figure 1, the modified plane contains three maximal cells:

$$\sigma_1 = \{X \leq l, Z = l\}, \quad \sigma_2 = \{X \geq l, Z = X\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_3 = \{X = l, Z \leq l\}.$$

By construction, σ_3 is the unique cell of the modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ attached to the graph of F . We let σ_i° denote the relative interior of the cell σ_i , for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

We describe $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ by means of two projections:

- (1) the projection π_{XY} to the coordinates (X, Y) produces the original curve $\text{Trop}(g)$,
- (2) the projection π_{ZY} gives a new tropical plane curve $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ inside the cells σ_2 and σ_3 , where $\tilde{g} = g(z - \zeta t^{-l}, y)$. The polynomial $\tilde{g}$ generates the elimination ideal $I_{g,f} \cap \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[y, z]$.

Notice that the projection π_{XZ} gives no information about $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ since it maps any tropical curve to the tropical line with vertex (l, l) . The following lemma explains how to reconstruct the curve $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ inside this modified plane using the two relevant projections above.

Lemma 2.2. *The linear re-embedding $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}})$ in the modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along the linear tropical polynomial $F = \max\{X, l\}$ is completely determined by the two tropical plane curves $\text{Trop}(g)$ and $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$, where $\tilde{g}(z, y) = g(z - \zeta t^{-l}, y)$. In particular, the vertices of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}})$ along the line $\{X = Z = l\}$ are the endpoints of the connected components of $(\text{Trop}(g) \times \mathbb{R}) \cap (\mathbb{R} \times \text{Trop}(\tilde{g})) \cap \{X = Z = l\}$.*

Proof. First, we fix a point (X, Y, Z) with either $X \neq l$ or $Z \neq l$, thus in the relative interior of one of the cells σ_i , for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We claim that (X, Y, Z) belongs to $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}})$ if and only if one of the following two conditions hold:

$$Z = \max\{X, l\} \text{ and } (X, Y) \in \text{Trop}(g), \quad \text{or} \quad X = \max\{Z, l\} \text{ and } (Z, Y) \in \text{Trop}(\tilde{g}).$$

The first implication follows directly from the Fundamental theorem of tropical algebraic geometry (see e.g. [16, Theorem 3.2.5]) and the fact that $g, \tilde{g}, \pm(z - (x + \zeta t^{-l})) \in I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}}$. For the converse, we use the same result to lift a point $(Z, Y) \in \text{Trop}(\tilde{g}) \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$ with $Z \neq l$ to a unique point (X, Y, Z) in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}}) \cap (\sigma_2^\circ \cup \sigma_3^\circ)$. This point satisfies $X = \max\{Z, l\}$. Analogously, any point $(X, Y) \in \text{Trop}(g) \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$ lifts uniquely to a point (X, Y, Z) in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}}) \cap (\sigma_1^\circ \cup \sigma_2^\circ)$, where $Z = \max\{X, l\}$.

It follows that the set of points in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}})$ outside the line $\{X = Z = l\}$ is completely determined by the two projections $\text{Trop}(g)$ and $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$. It remains to prove that we can also detect the tropical multiplicities and all points in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}})$ from these two projections. To see this, notice first that the multiplicities of all edges of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}})$ whose relative interior lies in σ_i° for $i = 1, 2, 3$ coincide with the corresponding multiplicities of the projected edges in $\text{Trop}(g)$ or $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$, respectively. This follows from the unique lifting property discussed above and the generalized push-forward formula for multiplicities of Sturmfels-Tevelev in the non-constant coefficients case [3, Theorem 8.4]. We can also compute the multiplicity of an edge on the line $\{X = Z = l\}$ by comparing the multiplicities of the preimages of the edge in the two charts. An edge of multiplicity zero should be interpreted as a phantom edge. This concludes our proof. $\square$

Using the previous result we can visualize the modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along a vertical line and the effect of the linear re-embedding on the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ by means of the two relevant projections. The colors and cell labels on the projections and the modified plane in Figure 1 indicate the nature of the fibers of each projection. The dashed line on each projection represents the image of the vertical line used to modify $\mathbb{R}^2$. We keep these conventions throughout this paper.

By Lemma 2.2 we know that the features of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$ are encoded in the polynomial $\tilde{g}(z, y) := g(z - \zeta t^{-l}, y)$. For special values of ζ , the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ is unexpected and yields an interesting behavior in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta t^{-l}}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$. We observe this phenomenon in Figure 1: the cycle on the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ was placed to the right of the vertical line $X = -1$, but in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ this cycle has been prolonged and its leftmost vertical edge has been pushed from the line

$Z = -1$ to the line $Z = -2$. This example illustrates the general principle described in the title of this paper. We discuss it further in Section 3.

As we mention earlier, our goal is to use linear tropical modifications to repair embeddings of plane curves. Let $J \subset \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_r]$ be a linear ideal defining a plane in $\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}^r$. We re-embed the curve $g(z_1, z_2)$ via the ideal $g + J$. As in Lemma 2.2, we can construct $\text{Trop}(g + J)$ from suitable 2-dimensional projections.

In order to do so, we find generators of J adapted to a fixed 2-cell σ of $\text{Trop}(J)$. We let (Z_i, Z_j) be the local coordinates of σ . Then, the corresponding variables z_i, z_j must be linearly independent on J and we can find unique polynomials $f_k \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[z_i, z_j]$ for $k \neq i, j$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad J = \langle z_k - f_k, k \neq i, j \rangle.$$

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\omega \in \text{Trop}(J)$ and fix a two-dimensional cell σ of $\text{Trop}(J)$ with local coordinates (Z_i, Z_j) containing ω . Then, the ideal $\text{in}_\omega(g + J)/\text{in}_\omega(J) \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1^\pm, \dots, z_r^\pm]/\text{in}_\omega(J)$ is isomorphic to the localization $\text{in}_{(\omega_i, \omega_j)}(\tilde{g}(z_i, z_j))[S^{-1}] \subset \mathbb{C}[z_i^\pm, z_j^\pm][S^{-1}]$, where $\tilde{g}(z_i, z_j) = (g + J) \cap \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[z_i, z_j]$ and S is the multiplicatively closed set generated by all $\text{in}_{(\omega_i, \omega_j)}(f_k)$, $k \neq i, j$.*

Proof. To simplify notation, we consider all initial ideals in the statement defined by ω , rather than by the projection (ω_i, ω_j) . By definition, $\text{in}_\omega(g), \text{in}_\omega(\tilde{g}) \subset \text{in}_\omega(g + J)$.

For each $k \neq i, j$ we write $f_k := a_k z_i + b_k z_j + c_k$ for suitable $a_k, b_k, c_k \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$. In order to prove the statement, we study the interplay of a_k, b_k, c_k with $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}^r$. By (2.1), any point z in the plane defined by J with $-\text{val}(z) = \omega$ is uniquely determined by its (i, j) coordinates. Since $\omega \in \sigma \subset \text{Trop}(J)$, the fundamental theorem of tropical algebraic geometry ensures that

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_k = \max\{-\text{val}(a_k) + \omega_i, -\text{val}(b_k) + \omega_j, -\text{val}(c_k)\} \quad \text{for all } k \neq i, j.$$

Hence, $\text{in}_\omega(z_k - f_k) = z_k - \text{in}_\omega(f_k)$ and we conclude that $\mathbb{C}[z_i^\pm, z_j^\pm] \cap \text{in}_\omega J = 0$ because $\dim J = \dim(\text{in}_\omega J) = 2$. Therefore, the generators from (2.1) give a basis to compute $\text{in}_\omega J$, i.e. $\text{in}_\omega J = \langle z_k - \text{in}_\omega(f_k) : k \neq i, j \rangle$. In particular, all elements of S are units in $\mathbb{C}[z_1^\pm, \dots, z_r^\pm]/\text{in}_\omega J$.

As a consequence, we construct an isomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{C}[z_1^\pm, \dots, z_r^\pm]/\text{in}_\omega J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[z_i^\pm, z_j^\pm][S^{-1}]$ by

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi(\overline{z_i}) = z_i, \varphi(\overline{z_j}) = z_j, \text{ and } \varphi(\overline{z_k}) = \text{in}_\omega(f_k) \text{ for all } k \neq i, j.$$

This map induces an isomorphism between the ideals $(\text{in}_\omega(\tilde{g}) + \text{in}_\omega J)/\text{in}_\omega J$ and $\text{in}_\omega(\tilde{g})[S^{-1}]$. To prove the statement, we show that $\text{in}_\omega(\tilde{g})$ generates the quotient ideal $\text{in}_\omega(g + J)/\text{in}_\omega J$.

Recall that z_i, z_j and the elements of S are units in the domain of φ . We pick a ω -homogeneous polynomial $h \in \text{in}_\omega(g + J) \cap \mathbb{C}[z_i, z_j]$ and show that $h \in \langle \text{in}_\omega \tilde{g} \rangle$. By [11, Lemma 2.12], we know that h is the initial form of an element $f \in g + J$. We write $f := p(z_i, z_j)\tilde{g} + \sum_{k \neq i, j} q_k(z_k - f_k)$. Since $\text{in}_\omega(z_k - f_k)$ contains z_k in its support but $h \in \mathbb{C}[z_i, z_j]$, an easy induction on r ensures that $f = p\tilde{g}$. Thus, $\text{in}_\omega(f) = \text{in}_\omega(h)\text{in}_\omega(\tilde{g})$, as we wanted to show. This concludes our proof. $\square$

2.2. Berkovich skeleta of curves and faithful tropicalization. In this section, we outline the required background on Berkovich analytic curves, their skeleta and their relationship with tropicalizations of curves. For the sake of brevity and simplicity, we restrict our exposition to the topological aspects of analytic curves. These features are captured by skeleta of curves. We follow the approach developed by Baker, Payne and Rabinoff in [3, 4].

Let K be an algebraically closed, complete non-Archimedean valued field K with absolute value $|\cdot| = \exp(-\text{val}(\cdot))$. Our main example of interest is $K = \mathbb{C}\{\{t^\mathbb{R}\}\}$, i.e. the field of generalized Puiseux series. Given an algebraic curve C defined over K we let C^{an} denote its analytification. The analytification A^{an} of an affine curve $\text{Spec}(A)$ is the space of multiplicative seminorms $\|\cdot\|: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ that satisfy the non-Archimedean triangle inequality $\|f + g\| \leq \max\{\|f\|, \|g\|\}$ and extend the absolute value on K . Its topology is the coarsest one such that all evaluation maps $\text{ev}_f: A^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ $\|\cdot\| \mapsto \|f\|$ are continuous for $f \in A$. The analytification C^{an} of a general curve C is glued from the analytification of an affine open cover. It can be shown that C^{an} possesses a piecewise linear

structure and it is locally modeled on an $\mathbb{R}$ -tree [4, §5.8]. The K -points of C are embedded as a subset of the leaves of this tree. The complement of the set of leaves carries a canonical metric given by shortest paths.

In [5], Berkovich introduced the notion of *skeleta* of an analytic space as suitable polyhedral subsets that capture the topology of the whole space. They are constructed from semistable formal models. Equivalently, they can be defined by means of semistable vertex sets V of C^{an} [4, §1.2, Theorem 1.3]. They have the structure of a finite metric graph with vertex set V . We denote them by $\Sigma(C, V)$. For any choice of V , there exists a deformation retract

$$(2.4) \quad \tau_{\Sigma(C, V)}: C^{\text{an}} \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma(C, V)$$

(see [4, 6]). Semistable vertex sets form a poset under inclusion and induce refinement of the corresponding skeleta [4, Proposition 3.13(1)].

Definition 2.4. We say $\Sigma(C, V)$ is a *minimal skeleton* of C^{an} if V is minimal.

Such minimal skeletons exist by [4, §4.16]. The Stable reduction theorem ensures that if the Euler characteristic of C is at most 0, then there is a unique set-theoretic minimal skeleton of C^{an} [4, Theorem 4.22]. This is the case when C is smooth and non-rational. In this situation, we write $\Sigma(C)$, or $\Sigma(I)$ whenever C is defined by the ideal I .

From now on, let us assume that C is a smooth connected algebraic curve over K and let $\widehat{C}$ denote its smooth completion. Let $D = \widehat{C} \setminus C$ be its set of punctures. These punctures are contained in distinct connected components of $\widehat{C}^{\text{an}} \setminus V$. By construction, a semistable vertex set V of C^{an} is also a semistable vertex set of $\widehat{C}^{\text{an}}$. In particular, by [4, Proposition 3.13] we know that $\Sigma(\widehat{C}, V) \subset \Sigma(C, V)$. The closure of $\Sigma(C, V)$ in $\widehat{C}^{\text{an}}$ equals $\Sigma(C, V) \cup D$. We call it the *extended skeleton* of $\widehat{C}^{\text{an}}$ with respect to V and the punctures D and we denote it by $\widehat{\Sigma}(V, D)$. Whenever the minimal skeleton of $\widehat{C}^{\text{an}}$ is unique, as in Example 2.5 below, the extended skeleton depends solely on the set of punctures. Following the previous notation, when the smooth, non-rational curve $\widehat{C}$ is defined by an ideal I , we write $\widehat{\Sigma}(I)$ for the complete extended skeleton.

Example 2.5 (Elliptic curves). Let C be a smooth elliptic curve defined over K . If $\widehat{C}$ has good reduction, then the minimal skeleton of $\widehat{C}^{\text{an}}$ is a point. If $\widehat{C}$ has bad reduction, then the minimal skeleton of $\widehat{C}$ is homeomorphic to a circle: its corresponding semistable vertex set is a point [3, §7.1]. Larger semistable vertex sets V will yield larger skeleta obtained from $\Sigma(\widehat{C})$ by attaching finite trees to this circle along points in $V \cap \Sigma(\widehat{C})$. $\diamond$

From the previous discussion, it is clear that skeleta of analytic curves share many properties with tropicalizations of algebraic curves. Their interplay was studied in depth by Baker, Payne and Rabinoff in [3]. As we next discuss, the precise relationship is captured by the tropicalization map and Thuillier's non-Archimedean Poincaré-Lelong formula [4, Theorem 5.15].

Let $C \subset (K^*)^n$ be an embedded curve, and fix a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of the character lattice of the torus. Let $f_i \in K(C)$ be the image of y_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The tropicalization map $\text{trop}: C(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $x \mapsto (\log(|f_1(x)|), \dots, \log(|f_n(x)|))$ extends naturally to a continuous map

$$(2.5) \quad \text{trop}: C^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad \|\cdot\| \mapsto (\log(\|f_1\|), \dots, \log(\|f_n\|)).$$

The image of this map is precisely the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(C)$ [13, §3]. Given any semistable vertex set V of C^{an} , the map (2.5) factors through the retraction $\tau_{\Sigma(C, V)}$ by [4, Theorem 5.15 (1)]. In particular, the resulting map

$$(2.6) \quad \text{trop}: \Sigma(C, V) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Trop}(C)$$

is a surjection. This last map will be our main focus of interest.

By the Poincaré-Lelong formula [4, Theorem 5.15], the maps trop from (2.5) and (2.6) are piecewise affine, with integer slopes. Furthermore, they are affine on each edge of the skeleton $\Sigma(C, V)$. The stretching factor on each edge is known as its *relative multiplicity*. If an edge e gets contracted to a single point in $\text{Trop}(C)$, we set $m_{\text{rel}}(e) = 0$. The map trop is *harmonic*, i.e. the image of every point in C^{an} and $\Sigma(C, V)$ under trop is *balanced* in the following sense: only finitely many edges in the star of a point x in C^{an} (resp. $\Sigma(C, V)$) are not contracted by trop , and these edges satisfy the identity

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{e \in T_x} d_e \text{trop}(x) = 0.$$

Here, T_x denote the tangent directions of x , i.e. the nontrivial geodesic segments starting at x , up to equivalence at x (as in [4, §5.11]). The outgoing slope $d_e \text{trop}(x)$ is 0 if trop contracts e and it equals $m_{\text{rel}}(e)$ times the primitive direction of the edge e' of $\text{Trop}(C)$ that contains the (possibly unbounded) segment $\text{trop}(e)$.

By refining the polyhedral structure of $\text{Trop}(C)$ we may assume that the map from (2.6) is a morphism of 1-dimensional complexes. The balancing condition yields the following identity between tropical and relative multiplicities, as in [3, Proposition 4.24]:

$$(2.8) \quad m_{\text{Trop}}(e') = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Sigma(C, V) \\ \text{trop}(e) = e'}} m_{\text{rel}}(e).$$

By [3, Proposition 4.24], this formula can also be used to relate tropical and relative multiplicities of vertices e' on tropical curves and vertices e of skeleta of analytic curves, when the map trop from (2.6) is a morphism of 1-dimensional complexes. As in the case of edges, the tropical multiplicity of a vertex ω of $\text{Trop}(C)$ counts the number of irreducible components (with multiplicities) in the initial degenerations of the input ideal defining I with respect ω . Rather than giving the precise definition for the relative multiplicity of a vertex v in $\Sigma(C, V)$, we present two of its crucial properties, as in [3, Corollary 6.12]. Namely, $m_{\text{rel}}(v)$ is a non-negative integer and $m_{\text{rel}}(v) > 0$ if and only if v belongs to an edge of $\Sigma(C, V)$ mapping homeomorphically onto its image via trop .

Definition 2.6. Consider a skeleton $\Sigma(C, V)$ of C^{an} and a finite subgraph Γ on it. We say a closed embedding $C \hookrightarrow (K^*)^n$ *faithfully represents* Γ if trop maps Γ homeomorphically and isometrically onto its image in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Using embeddings of curves in proper toric varieties Y_Δ that meet the dense torus, we can extend the previous definition to complete curves. We consider those toric varieties Y_Δ for which the morphism $\widehat{C} \rightarrow Y_\Delta$ is a closed immersion and use the *extended tropicalization maps* from [18], obtained by gluing the previous constructions on each toric strata along open inclusions, with the convention that $\log(0) := -\infty$.

We say that $\text{trop}: \widehat{C}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \text{Trop}(\widehat{C})$ is *faithful* if it faithfully represents a skeleton of $\widehat{C}$. By definition, a faithful tropicalization of C restricts to a homeomorphism from a suitable skeleton of C^{an} to a subgraph of the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(C)$. Thus, constructing an embedding of the given curve that yields such a homeomorphism can be viewed as a first step towards a faithful tropicalization of curves. Relative multiplicities on edges and the isometric requirements should be address in a second step.

In Section 4, we focus our attention on tropical faithfulness of plane elliptic cubics with bad reduction, embedded in $(K^*)^2$ or in a surface in $(K^*)^n$. Their completions admit a closed embedding $\widehat{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. The minimal skeleton Σ of $\widehat{C}^{\text{an}}$ lies in C^{an} and is homeomorphic to a circle. Our goal is to find a linear re-embedding of a given curve that faithfully represents Σ .

We first discuss how to detect non-closed embeddings of skeleta by looking at the tropical curve.

Definition 2.7. Let $\text{Trop}(g)$ be a tropicalization of the plane curve defined by g , and v a vertex of $\text{Trop}(g)$. We say that v is *locally reducible* if the star of v in $\text{Trop}(g)$ is a reducible 1-dimensional complex that is balanced at v , i.e. if it can be written as the union of two non-zero complexes with multiplicities that are balanced at v . In particular, if $\text{Star}_{\text{Trop}(g)}(v)$ is the union of s edges $e_1, \dots, e_s$ adjacent to v with multiplicities $m_1, \dots, m_s$, we can find $\tilde{m}_1, \dots, \tilde{m}_s$ with $0 \leq \tilde{m}_i \leq m_i$ for all i such that the resulting complex with multiplicities is balanced at v , contains an edge of positive multiplicity and it does not agree with $\text{Star}_{\text{Trop}(g)}(v)$ as complexes with multiplicities.

Lemma 2.8. Consider a non-rational smooth curve C defined by an ideal I and let $\widehat{\Sigma}(I)$ be extended skeleton defined with respect to the set of punctures D_I . Assume that $\text{trop}: \widehat{\Sigma}(I) \setminus D_I \rightarrow \text{Trop}(I)$ is not a closed embedding. Then one of the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\text{Trop}(I)$ has an edge of higher multiplicity, or a locally reducible vertex v with $m_{\text{Trop}}(v) \geq 2$;
- (2) $\text{Trop}(I)$ faithfully represents a unique subgraph Γ of $\widehat{\Sigma}(I) \setminus D_I$.

Proof. To simplify notation, write $\Sigma := \widehat{\Sigma}(I) \setminus D_I$. After refining the structure of Σ and $\text{Trop}(I)$, we may assume without loss of generality that Σ has no loop edges and that trop is a map of connected 1-dimensional abstract complexes.

Since trop is not a closed embedding, one of the following conditions hold:

- (i) the images of several edges intersect in more than a point;
- (ii) there exists a vertex v of $\text{Trop}(I)$ where the fiber $\text{trop}^{-1}(v)$ in Σ is not connected;
- (iii) there exists a vertex v of $\text{Trop}(I)$ such that $\text{trop}^{-1}(v)$ is connected and it is not a singleton.

First, assume that (i) holds and let e be a segment of an edge of $\text{Trop}(I)$ where the images of several edges overlap. We conclude from (2.8) that e lies in an edge of $\text{Trop}(I)$ of higher multiplicity.

We now analyze conditions (ii) and (iii). We consider the stars of all vertices $\rho \in \text{trop}^{-1}(v)$ in the abstract cell complex Σ . By (2.7) we know that the images of all stars $\text{Star}_{\Sigma}(\rho)$ under the tropicalization map are balanced at v . In particular,

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Star}_{\text{Trop}(I)}(v) = \bigcup_{\rho \in V(\text{trop}^{-1}(v))} \text{trop}(\text{Star}_{\Sigma}(\rho)).$$

The decomposition in the right-hand side of (2.9) contains at least one non-singleton component. In order to show that v is a locally reducible vertex, we seek to find two vertices $\rho, \rho' \in V(\Sigma)$ where $\text{trop}(\text{Star}_{\Sigma}(\rho))$ and $\text{trop}(\text{Star}_{\Sigma}(\rho'))$ are both nontrivial. In this situation, [3, Proposition 4.24, Corollary 6.12] ensure that $m_{\text{Trop}}(v) \geq m_{\text{rel}}(\rho) + m_{\text{rel}}(\rho') \geq 1 + 1 = 2$.

To simplify notation, fix $\Sigma' := \Sigma \setminus \text{trop}^{-1}(v)$. Assume (ii) holds, and decompose $\text{trop}^{-1}(v)$ into its connected components $\{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_r\}$, where $r \geq 2$. Each component is closed in Σ . Since Σ is connected, we conclude that $\Sigma_i \cap \overline{\Sigma'} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Since trop is a morphism of complexes, we can pick a vertex ρ_i in $\Sigma_i \cap \overline{\Sigma'}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$. By construction, $\text{trop}(\text{Star}_{\Sigma}(\rho_i)) \neq v$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. We conclude that v is locally reducible and $m_{\text{Trop}}(v) \geq r \geq 2$.

Finally, assume (iii) holds. Then the fiber $\text{trop}^{-1}(v)$ in Σ is a connected graph with at least two vertices. If v is not locally reducible, then the decomposition (2.9) is trivial, and so there is a unique vertex ρ of $\text{trop}^{-1}(v)$ whose star in Σ does not map entirely to v under trop . We conclude that $\text{trop}^{-1}(v) \cap \overline{\Sigma'} = \{\rho\}$ and $\{\rho\} \cup \Sigma'$ is connected and surjects onto $\text{Trop}(I)$ via trop . We conclude that each edge e in $\text{Star}_{\text{Trop}(I)}(v)$ is the image of at least one edge e' in $\text{Star}_{\Sigma' \cup \{\rho\}}(\rho)$. Thus, we can construct a subgraph Γ in $\text{Star}_{\Sigma' \cup \{\rho\}}(\rho)$ that is homeomorphic to $\text{Star}_{\text{Trop}(I)}(v)$ by trop . In addition, assuming condition (i) does not occur, we know that $\Gamma = \text{Star}_{\Sigma' \cup \{\rho\}}(\rho)$ and trop induces an isometry between Γ and $\text{Star}_{\text{Trop}(I)}(v)$.

As a consequence, if condition (I) in the statement fails, by iterating the previous construction over all vertices of $\text{Trop}(I)$, we can find a unique subgraph Γ of $\widehat{\Sigma}(I) \setminus D_I$ that maps isometrically to $\text{Trop}(I)$ under the map trop . This concludes our proof. $\square$

Remark 2.9. From the proof of Lemma 2.8 we can also extract the following information. Assume that the images under trop of two adjacent edges e and e' of Σ with a unique common endpoint w are two line segments that partially overlap. Call ρ and ρ' the non-common endpoints of e and e' , and assume $\text{trop}(\rho') \in \text{trop}(e)$. Then, the point $\text{trop}(\rho')$ will be a locally reducible vertex of $\text{Trop}(I)$ and its star contains the straight line with direction $\text{trop}(e)$. In the case of complete overlap, the vertex $\text{trop}(\rho) = \text{trop}(\rho')$ will also be locally reducible. We know that $\text{Star}_{\text{Trop}(I)}(\text{trop}(\rho))$ contains the high multiplicity edge $\text{trop}(e)$, but we cannot guarantee that it contains a straight line. Finally, when the edges e and e' of Σ have two common endpoints and do not get contracted by trop , their image $\text{trop}(e)$ will be contained in an edge of $\text{Trop}(I)$ of multiplicity $m > 1$.

The following special instance of Lemma 2.8 will be useful in Section 4.2, where we discuss elliptic plane cubics with bad reduction.

Corollary 2.10. *Let C be an elliptic cubic curve over $\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$ with bad reduction, embedded linearly by an ideal I . Assume $\text{Trop}(I)$ contains a cycle but $\text{trop}: \widehat{\Sigma}(I) \setminus D_I \rightarrow \text{Trop}(I)$ is not faithful on the cycle. Then, the cycle contains a locally reducible vertex v and $m_{\text{Trop}}(v) = 2$.*

Proof. We write $\Sigma := \widehat{\Sigma}(I) \setminus D_I$, and assume that trop is a morphism of 1-dimensional complexes. Since C has bad reduction, we know that $\widehat{\Sigma}(I) \setminus D_I$ contains a unique cycle Γ . The tropical cycle is contained in $\text{trop}(\Gamma)$, but the latter may also contain other edges of $\text{Trop}(I)$.

Next, we analyze $\text{trop}(\Gamma)$. Since C is defined by a cubic polynomial g in the plane, all the edges in the cycle of $\text{Trop}(C)$ have multiplicity 1. Given an edge e of the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I)$, expression (2.8) ensures that exactly one edge e' of Σ lies in $\text{trop}^{-1}(e)$ and, moreover, this edge lies in Γ and trop induces an isometry between e and e' . Since trop is not faithful on the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I)$, we know that Γ contains at least one edge that either gets contracted by trop or that map to an edge of $\text{Trop}(I)$ outside the cycle. In both cases, we can find two distinct vertices ρ, ρ' of Γ that map to the same vertex v in the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I)$ and are contained in two edges of Γ that are mapped isometrically to edges in the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I)$. By [3, Corollary 6.12], $m_{\text{Trop}}(v) \geq 1 + 1 = 2$. The decomposition (2.9) ensures that v is locally reducible, as desired.

For the reverse inequality, we analyze the combinatorics of the support of $\text{in}_v(g)$, i.e. of the dual cell to v in the Newton subdivision of g . By Figure 10, this support is a trapezoid of height 1 and one of whose basis has length 1. Therefore, $\text{in}_v(g)$ has at most two components, i.e. $m_{\text{Trop}}(v) \leq 2$. This concludes our proof. $\square$

Consider a smooth non-rational plane curve in $(K^*)^2$ defined by an irreducible polynomial $g(x, y) \in K[x, y]$ and its linear re-embedding via the ideal $I_{g,f} \subset K[x, y, z]$ as in Section 2.1. This re-embedding alters the skeleton of the analytic curve in a concrete way. Consider completions of these two curves, their sets of punctures D_g and $D_{I_{g,f}}$ and the corresponding extended skeleta $\widehat{\Sigma}(g)$ and $\widehat{\Sigma}(I_{g,f})$. Notice that $D_g \subseteq D_{I_{g,f}}$. These skeleta only differ by some additional ends that we attach to $\widehat{\Sigma}(g)$ to obtain $\widehat{\Sigma}(I_{g,f})$ (see Figure 1). The bounded part of $\widehat{\Sigma}(g)$ can be identified with the corresponding bounded part of $\widehat{\Sigma}(I_{g,f})$ using the following commutative diagram:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C^{\text{an}} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\widehat{\Sigma}(I_{g,f})}} & \widehat{\Sigma}(I_{g,f}) \setminus D_{I_{g,f}} & \xrightarrow{\text{trop}} & \text{Trop}(I_{g,f}) \\ & \searrow \tau_{\widehat{\Sigma}(g)} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{XY} \\ & & \widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g & \xrightarrow{\text{trop}} & \text{Trop}(g). \end{array}$$

This diagram allows us to define two key notions: decontraction and unfolding of edges via linear re-embeddings.

Definition 2.11. If $\text{trop}: \widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g \rightarrow \text{Trop}(g)$ contracts a fixed bounded edge but $\text{trop}: \widehat{\Sigma}(I_{g,f}) \setminus D_{I_{g,f}} \rightarrow \text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ does not, we say that the linear re-embedding *decontracts* this edge.

Assume next that a segment in a bounded edge e of $\text{Trop}(g)$ is obtained by overlapping the images of several edges of $\widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g$ in more than one point. Refine the structure of $\text{Trop}(g)$ and let e be this segment. If $\pi_{XY}^{-1}(e)$ is the union of images of finitely many edges from $\widehat{\Sigma}(I_{g,f}) \setminus D_{I_{g,f}}$ that pairwise intersect in at most one point, we say that the linear re-embedding *unfolds* the edge e .

The union of edges that unfolds e need not be connected. Example 4.9 and Figure 13 show the decontraction of an edge. Example 3.14 and Figure 6 illustrate the unfolding phenomenon. In both cases, we recover the curve $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ from the drawn projections π_{XY} and π_{ZY} using Lemma 2.2.

2.3. A -discriminants. The notion of A -discriminants for configurations of points in $\mathbb{Z}^k$ was introduced and further developed by Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky in [12]. We present the theory in its original formulation for Laurent polynomials. In our applications we only deal with polynomials with non-negative exponents defined over $\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$.

Throughout this section, we let K be an algebraically closed field. We fix a configuration A of m points in $\mathbb{Z}^k$, and a Laurent polynomial supported on A :

$$g(\underline{x}) = \sum_{a \in A} c_a \underline{x}^a \in K[x_1^\pm, \dots, x_k^\pm].$$

We use the multiplicative notation $\underline{x}^{a_i} := x_1^{a_{i1}} x_2^{a_{i2}} \dots x_k^{a_{ik}}$ if $a_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{ik}) \in A$.

For generic choices of coefficients $(c_{a_i})_{i=1}^m$, the polynomial g has no singularities in the algebraic torus $(K^*)^k$. However, for special choices of coefficients, singularities do appear. Such special situations (and their algebraic closure) are determined by the ideal $J_c = J \cap K[c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_m}]$, where

$$J = \text{Rad} \left(\left\langle g(\underline{x}), \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}(\underline{x}), \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_k}(\underline{x}) \right\rangle \right) \subset K[c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_m}][x_1^\pm, \dots, x_k^\pm].$$

It can be shown that whenever J_c is a principal ideal, its unique generator is irreducible and can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}$. The A -discriminant Δ_A is the unique (up to sign) irreducible polynomial with integer coefficients in the unknowns $(c_a)_{a \in A}$ defining J_c . If J_c is not principal, we set $\Delta_A = 1$ and refer to A as a *defective* configuration.

As an example, we compute the A -discriminant of the trapezoid in Figure 2, which plays a key role in Section 3.

Lemma 2.12. *Assume $n, s \geq 1$. Then, the discriminant of the trapezoid $\mathcal{P}$ in Figure 2 equals the Sylvester resultant $\text{Res}(h_1, h_2)$ of the univariate polynomials $h_1(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ and $h_2(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_sx^s$. In particular, when $s = 1$ we obtain*

$$(2.11) \quad \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} = a_0 b_1^n + \dots + (-1)^i b_0^i b_1^{n-i} a_i + \dots + (-1)^n b_0^n a_n.$$

The same formulas hold if we pick any configuration A of lattice points in $\mathcal{P}$ containing all four vertices of the trapezoid, after replacing the corresponding variables among $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ by zero.

Proof. Since A -discriminants are invariant under affine transformations of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$, we may assume that the trapezoid has vertices $(0, 0), (p, 1), (p + s, 1)$ and $(0, n)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}$ is not a pyramid, so we know the planar configuration A is not defective. We fix a polynomial h with support on the given trapezoid, and compute its two partial derivatives:

$$(2.12) \quad h(x, y) = h_1(x) + yx^p h_2(x), \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x, y) = h'_1 + y(h'_2 + px^{p-1}h_2), \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}(x, y) = h_2x^p.$$

Let $(a_0, \dots, b_s)$ be a general point where the discriminant vanishes. Then, h admits a singular point (x_0, y_0) in the torus $(K^*)^2$. In particular, $h_2(x_0) = 0$ and so $0 = h(x_0, y_0) = h_1(x_0)$. Thus, both h_1 and h_2 have a common solution $x_0 \in K^*$, so $\text{Res}(h_1, h_2) = 0$. We conclude that $\text{Res}(h_1, h_2)$ divides $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$. Since both polynomials are irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}[c_a : a \in A]$, the result follows.

Now, let $s = 1$ and write $h_2 := b_0 + b_1x$. Assume (x_0, y_0) is a singular point of h in the torus $(K^*)^2$. From (2.12) we conclude that $x_0 = -b_0/b_1$ and we can use the equation $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 0$ to find the value of y_0 . Since, in addition, $h(x_0, y_0) = 0$ we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= b_1^n \left(a_0 + a_1 \left(-\frac{b_0}{b_1} \right) + \dots + a_i \left(-\frac{b_0}{b_1} \right)^i + \dots + a_n \left(-\frac{b_0}{b_1} \right)^n + b_0 y_0 + b_1 \left(-\frac{b_0}{b_1} \right) y_0 \right) \\ &= a_0 b_1^n - a_1 b_0 b_1^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^i a_i b_0^i b_1^{n-i} + \dots + (-1)^n a_n b_0^n, \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show.

Conversely, if the right-hand side of (2.11) vanishes, then any point (x_0, y_0) constructed from the vanishing of the partials (2.12) is a singularity of $\{h = 0\}$. The singularity lies in the torus if and only if $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(-b_0/b_1, 0) \neq 0$. The latter is an open condition in the coefficients $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_0, b_1)$, and it is independent on the variable a_0 . Since the bottom expression in (2.13) has degree 1 in a_0 , we can find a unique $a_0 \in K^*$ that solves the equation (2.13) for a generic point $(a_1, \dots, b_1) \in (K^*)^{n+2}$. For this choice, the unique solution (x_0, y_0) is a singularity of h in the torus.

For the third claim in the statement, it suffices to notice that all the arguments stated above hold if we replace any of the coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ in h by zero. This concludes our proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.13. *Fix a polynomial h with support contained in the trapezoid $\mathcal{P}$ in Figure 2 where $a_0, a_n, b_0, b_s \in K^*$. Then, h is reducible over $K[x^\pm, y^\pm]$ if and only if $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(a_0, \dots, b_s) = 0$.*

Proof. The result is an easy consequence of Lemma 2.12. Since h has degree 1 in y , a simple calculation shows that h factorizes over $K[x^\pm, y^\pm]$ if and only if h_1 and h_2 have a common solution in K^* , that is, if $0 = \text{Res}(h_1, h_2) = \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$. $\square$

Plane tropical curves are dual to coherent (or regular) subdivisions of lattice polygons in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Each vertex or edge τ in the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ is dual to a marked 2-dimensional polytope or marked edge $\tau^\vee$ in the Newton subdivision of g . By abuse of notation, we define the discriminant of τ as the discriminant of its marked dual cell, i.e.

$$\Delta_\tau := \Delta_{\tau^\vee} \in \mathbb{Z}[c_a : a \in \tau^\vee].$$

In Section 3 we use these polynomials to measure local faithfulness of the tropicalization map.

3. REPAIRING TROPICALIZATIONS

In this section, we present our two main technical tools for repairing embedding of plane curves whose tropicalization maps are non-closed embeddings of Berkovich skeleta, as in Lemma 2.8. Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 explain how to locally repair these bad behaviors by linear re-embeddings while preserving the structure elsewhere under some restriction on the locally reducible vertices or high multiplicity bounded edges. Remark 3.5 discusses possible extensions to other types of locally reducible vertices. In Section 4.2 we combine these two theorems to give a symbolic algorithm to repair the cycle of a plane tropical elliptic cubic (see Theorem 4.1 and Algorithm 1).

Throughout this section, we assume our input to be a smooth non-rational curve defined by a polynomial $g \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[x, y]$, and we consider its tropicalization $\text{Trop}(g)$ as a subvariety of the torus $(\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}^*)^2$. We base change the algebraic curve to $\mathbb{C}\{\{t^{\mathbb{R}}\}\}$, and consider the set of punctures D_g in a smooth completion of the new curve. We write $\widehat{\Sigma}(g)$ for the extended skeleton of the complete analytic curve with respect to the set of punctures D_g , as defined in Section 2.2. Notice that the base change operation does not affect the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ by [13, Proposition 3.7]. We make the following genericity assumption on g :

Convention 3.1. We assume g is *generic* in the sense that if a non-trivial linear combination of its Puiseux series coefficients does not have the expected valuation because the initial term cancels, then the valuation does not reach ∞ , i.e. the linear combination does not cancel completely.

For simplicity, we choose to formulate Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 for embedded plane curves in $(\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}^*)^2$. Since both theorems are local in nature, they also hold if we embed $\text{Trop}(g)$ linearly in $\mathbb{R}^r$ and the locally reducible vertex v or the edge of high multiplicity, respectively, is contained in the interior of a top-dimensional cone of the tropical plane in $\mathbb{R}^r$. These more general versions and Lemma 2.2 will enable us to iterate this procedure if one linear tropical modification does not suffice to locally repair the input tropical curve.

By refining structures, we always assume that $\text{trop}: \widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g \rightarrow \text{Trop}(g)$ is a morphism of 1-dimensional polyhedral complexes. Our first result concerns a special class of locally reducible vertices of $\text{Trop}(g)$. It can be further extended by unimodular transformations (see Remark 3.3).

Theorem 3.2. *Let v be a locally reducible vertex of $\text{Trop}(g)$ which is dual to Figure 2. We assume that g satisfies the genericity assumption 3.1. Then, Δ_v vanishes at $\text{in}_v(g)$ if and only if there exist two points ρ, ρ' of $\text{trop}^{-1}(v) \subset \widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) *the stars of ρ and ρ' in $\widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g$ are not contracted by trop ,*
- (ii) *there exists a subgraph Γ of $\widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g$ containing the points ρ and ρ' that is partially contracted and/or folded by trop .*

Moreover, in this situation, there is a linear re-embedding $I_{g,f}$ of the curve corresponding to the tropical modification along the vertical line L through v that decontracts/unfolds edges of $\widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g$ mapping to $\text{Trop}(g) \cap L$ under trop .

Theorem 3.2 should be interpreted as follows. Assume $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a locally reducible four-valent vertex v whose star contains a line and two other non-parallel rays of multiplicity one. Then the local faithfulness of $\text{Trop}(g)$ at v is measured by the discriminant of v . If this discriminant vanishes, we can use a linear tropical modification of the ambient space to find a subgraph of $\widehat{\Sigma}(g)$ that is homeomorphic to the star of v in $\text{Trop}(g)$ via the tropicalization map.




FIGURE 2. The locally reducible vertex in $\text{Trop}(g)$ that can be repaired with a linear tropical modification. The labels $a_0, \dots, a_n, b_0, \dots, b_s \in \mathbb{C}$ correspond to the coefficients of $\text{in}_v(g)$. With the exception of the four vertices, the remaining coefficients are allowed to be 0. The two bases of the trapezoid define two univariate polynomials $h_1 = a_0 + \dots + a_n x$ and $h_2(x) := b_0 + \dots + b_s x^s$ of degrees n and s , respectively. The arrows indicate the feeding process in the z variable induced by the linear re-embedding $x \mapsto z - \zeta$ with $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$.

Remark 3.3. A *unimodular transformation* of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is a linear $\mathbb{Z}$ -invertible map $A: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ associated to a monomial change of coordinates α in $\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[x^\pm, y^\pm]$. Using the maps A and α we can make other locally reducible vertices dual to Figure 2, and apply Theorem 3.2 to repair the corresponding tropical curve locally around v . We compose the linear re-embedding and its lifting function f and use the ideal $I_{g,f \circ \alpha^{-1}} := \langle g(x, y), z - f \circ \alpha^{-1}(x, y) \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[x^\pm, y^\pm, z^\pm]$ to give new coordinates to our curve. We can view $\text{Trop}(g)$ inside the two charts $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f \circ \alpha^{-1}})$ by means of the inverse of the linear map $A \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}: \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$. For an illustration, see Example 3.15.

Notice that, with few exceptions, these re-embeddings are non-linear and the same outcome cannot be achieved by using only linear tropical modification. Namely, only when the line through v has slopes 0 or 1, the corresponding monomial changes of coordinates are linear themselves, and so $I_{g,f \circ \alpha^{-1}}$ gives a linear re-embedding. Furthermore, in these two cases, we can easily adapt the

techniques of Theorem 3.2 to modify along these lines directly without the need of precomposing with these monomial map (see Figure 10). We use this strategy in Theorem 4.1 to repair bad embeddings of plane elliptic cubics.

Our second result concerns the unfolding of edges of high multiplicity. As opposed to Theorem 3.2, the non-vanishing of the discriminant of the edge e at $\text{in}_e(g)$ detects non-faithfulness.

Theorem 3.4. *Let e be a vertical bounded edge of $\text{Trop}(g)$ of multiplicity $n \geq 2$ whose endpoints have valency 3. If the discriminant of e does not vanish at $\text{in}_e(g)$, then the tropicalization map is not faithful at e and we can unfold this edge with a linear re-embedding $I_{g,f}$ of the curve determined by a tropical modification. The new curve $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ contains a cycle that maps to e via π_{XY} .*

It is worth pointing out that the previous statement gives no information regarding the reverse implication. We refer to Remark 3.11 for more details.

Remark 3.5. At first glance, the statement of Theorem 3.2 seems a bit restricted and it would be desirable to treat more general reducible vertices than those dual to Figure 2 (possibly, after a unimodular transformation). We choose to avoid the general case for three concrete reasons. First, working with the general case will force the use of multivariate resultants rather than discriminants, even for those vertices traversed by a straight line. Secondly, Figure 2 is the only one where the reducibility of a polynomial supported on it is equivalent to the vanishing of the discriminant.

Third, for reducible vertices of arbitrary shape whose components have valency strictly greater than 3, linear modifications are no longer helpful to repair bad embeddings. In Section 5 we present an example of a reducible vertex v with two valency-3 components that can be repaired by a linear modification (see Example 5.1). The presence of these components forces us to modify $\mathbb{R}^2$ along a tropical line with vertex v . Consequently, we have to use more charts to characterize the linear re-embedding. These projections are harder to describe in terms of a coordinate change.

Likewise, the valency-three condition imposed in the statement of Theorem 3.4 allows us to stay in the world of discriminants for all our computations. Examples 5.2 and 5.3 in Section 5 give two instances where we drop the valency-three condition and our methods have different outcomes.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4. A series of lemmas facilitate the exposition. We make the following simplifications. Let v be a locally reducible vertex dual to the trapezoid $\mathcal{P}$ from Figure 2 traversed by a vertical line $X = l$. Our tropical linear modification has the form $F = \max\{X, l\}$ and its lifting equals $f = x + \zeta t^{-l} \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[x]$ where $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$. Furthermore, after rescaling the variables x and y by appropriate powers of t and rescaling the resulting polynomial $g(t^a x, t^b y)$ by a suitable power of t , we may assume that in the Newton subdivision of g , the trapezoid $\mathcal{P}$ has height 0 and all other monomials have negative height. Here, the *height* of a monomial $\underline{x}^\alpha$ appearing in g equals $-\text{val}(c_\alpha)$, the negative valuation of the corresponding coefficient. As a result, we can take $l = 0$.

With a similar technique, we can assume the Newton subdivision of the polynomial g in Theorem 3.4 has height 0 at the dual edge $e^\vee$, while all other monomials in g have negative height. In this case, we unfold the edge e by means of the linear tropical modification along $\max\{X, 0\}$ and a suitable lifting $f = x + \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[x]$ with $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$.

In the following lemmas, we keep the previous assumptions. We use the notation σ_3 for the attached cell in the linear tropical modification $\max\{X, 0\}$, discussed at the end of Section 2.1. The heart of these technical results lies in Lemma 2.2. We study the expected valuations of the coefficients $\tilde{c}_{0,k}$ of $\tilde{g} = g(z - \zeta, y)$ for appropriate values of k and choose ζ 's that make their valuations higher than expected. This ensures that $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$ does not consist only of downward ends attached to $\text{Trop}(g) \cap (X = 0)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let (i, j) and $(p, j + 1)$ be the left vertices of the trapezoid $\mathcal{P}$ from Figure 2, and let h_1 and h_2 be the univariate polynomials of degree n and s induced by the two bases of the trapezoid. Assume that the Newton subdivision of g achieves its maximum height (zero) at $\mathcal{P}$. Then,*

for any given $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$ with $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$, the coefficients of all monomials $y^j, zy^j, \dots, z^{i+n}y^j$ and $y^{j+1}, zy^{j+1}, \dots, z^{p+s}y^{j+1}$ in $\tilde{g}(z, y) = g(z - \zeta, y)$ have expected valuations $\text{val}(c_{i+n,j})$ and $\text{val}(c_{p+s,j+1})$, respectively. The valuation of the coefficients of the monomials $z^{p+s}y^{j+1}$ and $z^{i+n}y^j$ equals the expected one. Moreover, the valuations of $\tilde{c}_{0,j}$ and $\tilde{c}_{0,j+1}$ are higher than expected if and only if $\text{in}_t(\zeta) = \zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is a common solution of h_1 and h_2 , and the discriminant of $\mathcal{P}$ vanishes at the point $(a_0, \dots, a_n, b_0, \dots, b_s) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+s+2}$. For such choice, $\tilde{c}_{1,j+1}$ has the expected valuation if and only if $h'_2(-\zeta_0) \neq 0$.

Proof. Following the notation of Figure 2, we let $a_0, \dots, a_n, b_0, \dots, b_s \in \mathbb{C}$ be the constant terms of the coefficients c_α from g , with $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$. The binomial expansion of each factor $(z - \zeta)$ yields:

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{c}_{l,j} = \sum_{k \geq l} c_{k,j} \binom{k}{l} (-\zeta)^{k-l} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_{l,j+1} = \sum_{k \geq l} c_{k,j+1} \binom{k}{l} (-\zeta)^{k-l} \quad \text{for all } l.$$

Since $\text{val}(\zeta) = \text{val}(c_{i+n,j}) = \text{val}(c_{p+s,j+1}) = 0$ and all terms in g have height at most 0, we conclude that all the coefficients $\tilde{c}_{l,j}$ with $0 \leq l \leq n+i$, and $\tilde{c}_{l,j+1}$ with $0 \leq l \leq p+s$ have expected valuation 0. Moreover, $\text{val}(\tilde{c}_{i+1,j+1}) = \text{val}(\tilde{c}_{i+n,j}) = 0$ by construction.

In particular, we can compute the constant terms of $\tilde{c}_{0,j}$, $\tilde{c}_{0,j+1}$ and $\tilde{c}_{1,j+1}$:

$$(3.2) \quad \text{in}_t(\tilde{c}_{0,j}) = (-\zeta_0)^i h_1(-\zeta_0); \quad \text{in}_t(\tilde{c}_{0,j+1}) = (-\zeta_0)^p h_2(-\zeta_0); \quad \text{in}_t(\tilde{c}_{1,j+1}) = h_2(-\zeta_0) - \zeta_0 h'_2(-\zeta_0).$$

Thus, $\tilde{c}_{0,j}$ and $\tilde{c}_{0,j+1}$ have strictly positive valuation if and only if ζ_0 is a nonzero common solution of h_1 and h_2 . By Lemma 2.12, such ζ_0 exists if and only if $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(a_0, \dots, b_s) = 0$. Furthermore by (3.2) we deduce that $\text{in}_t(\tilde{c}_{1,j+1}) = -\zeta_0 h'_2(-\zeta_0)$, so $\text{val}(\tilde{c}_{1,j+1}) = 0$ if and only if $h'_2(-\zeta_0) \neq 0$. $\square$

Remark 3.7. The statement of Lemma 3.6 also holds for any locally reducible vertex v with a line through it of slope 0 or 1, and whose dual cell is a trapezoid $\mathcal{P}$ of height one, as we now explain. As usual, assume that the trapezoid $\mathcal{P}$ has maximal height 0. By symmetry between x and y we need only consider the case of slope one, namely, when the parallel lines containing the bases of $\mathcal{P}$ are $L := \{y = -x + r\}$ and $L' := \{y = -x + r - 1\}$. Let $b_0, \dots, b_s$ be the initial terms of the coefficients of the monomials in L , and $a_0, \dots, a_n$ be the ones contained in L' . We let h_1 and h_2 be the polynomials supported on the two bases of $\mathcal{P}$. The A -discriminant of the trapezoid is the same as the one for Figure 2 since these two polygons are related by a unimodular transformation of $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

We write $v = (v_1, v_2)$. The linear re-embedding induced by the tropical modification along $L^\perp$ is determined by the function $f = x + \zeta t^{v_2-v_1}y$ with $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$. The plane curve $\tilde{g} = g(z - \zeta t^{v_2-v_1}y, y)$ is obtained by projecting $I_{g,f}$ to the ZY -plane. In the feeding process, a monomial $x^i y^j$ in g contributes to all monomials $z^{i-k} y^{j+k}$ in $\tilde{g}$ for $0 \leq k \leq i$. In particular, if a point $(i, j) \in L \cup L'$ lies to the left of the vertices with coefficients a_n, b_s , then the coefficient $\tilde{c}_{i,j}$ has expected valuation 0. We are interested in increasing the valuation of the coefficients of $\tilde{g}$ associated to the intersection points $(0, r)$ and $(0, r-1)$ of the y -axis with the lines L and L' , respectively.

By Lemma 2.12, the vanishing of $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ ensures that h_1 and h_2 have a common solution ζ_0 in $\mathbb{C}^*$. The feeding process ensures that $\tilde{c}_{0,r}$ and $\tilde{c}_{0,r-1}$ have negative valuation if and only if $\text{in}_t(\zeta) = \zeta_0$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let v be a locally reducible vertex of $\text{Trop}(g)$ dual to the trapezoid $\mathcal{P}$ in Figure 2, contained in the line $\{X = l\}$. Suppose that the discriminant of $\mathcal{P}$ vanishes at $\text{in}_v(g)$ and let ζ_0 be a common solution of $h_1(x)$ and $h_2(x)$ in $\mathbb{C}^*$. Let $f := x + \zeta t^{-l}$ be a lifting function with $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$ and $\text{in}_t(\zeta) = \zeta_0$. Then, the linear re-embedding $I_{g,f}$ produces a decontraction/unfolding of some edges of $\widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g$ that map to $\text{Trop}(g) \cap \{X = l\}$. Furthermore, $v \times \{l\}$ is a vertex of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ and its multiplicity is strictly smaller than $\text{mult}_{\text{Trop}(g)}(v)$.*

Proof. The claim follows from Lemma 3.6. As usual, assume that the trapezoid $\mathcal{P}$ has height zero, and all coefficients of g have non-negative valuation, so $l = 0$ and $v = (0, 0)$. Set $\tilde{g}(z, y) := g(z - \zeta, y)$. The given hypotheses ensure that the coefficients of y^j and y^{j+1} in $\tilde{g}$ have strictly positive valuation and all other coefficients have non-negative valuation.

By our genericity condition 3.1, the coefficients $\tilde{c}_{0,j}$ and $\tilde{c}_{0,j+1}$ of $\tilde{g}$ are non-zero and have positive valuation. Let $1 \leq k \leq i+n$ and $1 \leq k' \leq p+s$ be minimal with the property that $\tilde{c}_{k,j}$ and $\tilde{c}_{k',j+1}$ have valuation zero. We let e_0 be the edge in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ determined by (k,j) and $(k',j+1)$. Notice that $e_0^\vee$ has multiplicity 1, and so it is faithfully represented in $\hat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g$ by a unique edge E that maps to e_0 under trop .

Different values of k and k' yield different polyhedral structures on $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g}) \cap \{Z < 0\}$ and thus in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$ by Lemma 2.2. In turn, they produce the decontraction or unfolding of edges, as we now explain.

By symmetry, we assume $k' \leq k$. We claim that the point $(0,0)$ lies in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$: it is a vertex when $k < n+i$ or $k' < p+s$, and otherwise lies in the relative interior of the edge $e_0^\vee$ in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ (see Figures 6 and 13). In both cases, a segment of $e_0^\vee$ connects $(0,0)$ to a vertex v_1 in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g}) \cap \{Z < 0\}$ as in Figure 3. The vertex v_1 can be described as follows. Our genericity condition 3.1 ensures that there is a polygon Q_1 in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ containing e_0 and a vertex $w_1 = (q_1, r_1)$ where $r_1 < (k' - k)(q_1 - k) + j$, i.e. w_1 lies below the line with direction e_0 passing through (k,j) . The polygon Q_1 is dual to a vertex v_1 of $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g}) \cap \{Z < 0\}$.

The combinatorics of the stars of $(0,0)$ in $\text{Trop}(g)$ and $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ and Lemma 2.2 ensure that $(0,0,0)$ is a vertex of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$. Let S be the multiplicative closed set generated by $(x + \zeta_0)$. Our choice of ζ_0 guarantees that the localized initial ideal $\langle \text{in}_v(g) \rangle [S^{-1}] \subset \mathbb{C}[x^\pm, y^\pm][S^{-1}]$ has length strictly smaller than the length of the ideal $\text{in}_v(g) \subset \mathbb{C}[x^\pm, y^\pm]$. Proposition 2.3 implies that the multiplicity of $(0,0,0)$ in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$ is bounded above by $\text{mult}_{\text{Trop}(g)}(v) - 1$.

When $k = k'$, the edge $e_0^\vee$ has direction $(0,0,-1)$ and it connects the vertex v_1 of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$ to the point $(0,0,0)$. The map $\pi_{XY}: \text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta}) \rightarrow \text{Trop}(g)$ contracts this bounded edge and all downward ends in σ_3° . Bounded edges with other directions project homeomorphically to segments inside $\text{Trop}(g) \cap \{X = 0\}$. By Lemma 2.2 and (2.10) we conclude that the linear re-embedding $I_{g,x+\zeta}$ decontracts the edge $e_0^\vee$ in $\hat{\Sigma}(I_{g,x+\zeta}) \setminus D_{I_{g,x+\zeta}}$, so it decontracts the edge E in $\hat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g$. Example 4.9 and Figure 13 exhibit this behavior.

On the contrary, assume $k' < k$. This situation leads to an unfolding of edges, as sketched in Figure 3. Assume the vertex w_1 constructed above has $r_1 \geq j+1$. Then, the 2-cell Q_1 in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ contains a vertex $w_2 = (q_2, r_2)$ with $r_2 \geq j+1$ and adjacent to $(k',j+1)$ by an edge e_2 . Notice that we allow the possibility that w_2 and w_1 agree. The edges $e_2^\vee$ and $e_0^\vee$ share the endpoint v_1 . When viewed in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$ using Lemma 2.2, the same holds true for a segment of these two edges. The projection π_{XY} overlaps these two edges along an edge of $\text{Trop}(g)$ contained in the line $\{X = 0\}$. Diagram (2.10) implies that the linear re-embedding $I_{g,x+\zeta}$ unfolds some edges of $\text{Trop}(g) \cap \{X = 0\}$.

Finally, suppose $r_1 \leq j$. By convexity, we construct a (possibly empty) maximal collection of vertices $w_2, \dots, w_m$ with $w_l = (q_l, r_l)$ and $r_l \leq j$ for all l such that

- (1) w_l and $(k',j+1)$ are connected by an edge e_l ,
- (2) for all $l \geq 2$, $w_{l-1}, w_l, (k',j+1)$ are vertices of a polygon Q_l in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$.

By the genericity assumption 3.1 we can find a vertex $w_{m+1} = (q_{m+1}, r_{m+1})$ with $r_{m+1} \geq j+1$ in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$, connected to $(k',j+1)$ by an edge e_{m+1} and such that e_{m+1} and e_m are edges of a polygon Q_{m+1} in the subdivision. We let v_{m+1} be the corresponding vertex in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g}) \cap \{Z < 0\}$.

The edges $e_l^\vee$ of $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ have directions $(j+1 - q_l, r_l - k')$ for $l = 1, \dots, m+1$. They form a chain that links the vertices v_{m+1} and v_1 , as in Figure 3. Using Lemma 2.2 and the convexity of the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$, we conclude that the projection π_{XY} maps these edges (and their linking chain) to overlapping edges in $\{X = Z = 0\}$. Using diagram (2.10), we conclude that the linear re-embedding $I_{g,x+\zeta}$ unfolds some edges of $\text{Trop}(g) \cap \{X = 0\}$. Example 3.14 and Figure 6 capture this phenomenon. $\square$




FIGURE 3. The Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ and the projection π_{XY} folding edges of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$. The dashed segments describe a potential scheme of edges in the subdivision. The red dotted lines indicate the image of the projection.

Lemma 3.9. *Let v be a locally reducible vertex v in $\text{Trop}(g)$ and assume that the discriminant Δ_v does not vanish at $\text{in}_v(g)$. Then, there exists a unique vertex ρ in $\Sigma := \widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g$ and a subgraph Γ of $\text{Star}_\Sigma(\rho)$ that maps homeomorphically to $\text{Star}_{\text{Trop}(g)}(v)$. For any other vertex $\rho' \in \text{trop}^{-1}(v)$ in Σ , we have $\text{trop}(\text{Star}_\Sigma(\rho')) = v$.*

Proof. If the discriminant Δ_v does not vanish at $\text{in}_v(g)$, then by Corollary 2.13, we know that $\text{in}_v(g)$ is irreducible and generically reduced, thus $m_{\text{Trop}}(v) = 1$. By [3, Corollary 6.12] and formula (2.8), all but one vertex in $\text{trop}^{-1}(v)$ map their stars to v . We let ρ be this special vertex. The proof of Lemma 2.8 shows that we can construct Γ in $\text{Star}_\Sigma(\rho)$ satisfying the desired property. $\square$

Remark 3.10. Notice that by construction, the multiplicity of two of the edges adjacent to a locally reducible vertex v dual to Figure 2 is one, and so the tropicalization is faithful on these edges by [3, Theorem 6.23]. The only exceptions are the edges dual to the two bases of the trapezoid in Figure 2, whose multiplicities are s and n . We cannot guarantee faithfulness of the tropicalization map over these edges unless $n = s = 1$. Furthermore, Corollary 2.13 ensures that $m_{\text{Trop}}(v) \geq 2$ if and only if Δ_v vanishes at $\text{in}_v(g)$.

When Δ_v does not vanish at $\text{in}_v(g)$, the multiplicity one edges in $\text{Star}_{\text{Trop}(g)}(v)$ are faithfully represented as a subgraph Γ of $\text{Star}_\Sigma(\rho)$, where ρ is the vertex of Lemma 3.9. This graph is a star tree. If $n, s > 1$, then trop maps each of the edges in $\text{Star}_\Sigma(\rho)$ outside Γ either to v or homeomorphically to the corresponding high multiplicity edge in $\text{Trop}(g)$. The proof of Lemma 2.8 shows that the previous statements remain true if we replace Σ by the connected 1-dimensional complex $\Sigma' = (\Sigma \setminus \text{trop}^{-1}(v)) \cup \{\rho\}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. To simplify notation, write $\Sigma(I) := \widehat{\Sigma}(I) \setminus D_I$ for the embedding induced by an ideal I . Assume the discriminant Δ_v does not vanish at $\text{in}_v(g)$. The result follows by Lemma 3.9. Conversely, suppose that the discriminant Δ_v vanishes at $\text{in}_v(g)$. Then, by Lemma 3.8 we can decontract/unfold edges of $\Sigma(g)$ that map to $\text{Trop}(g) \cap \{X = l\}$ using a linear re-embedding induced by a tropical modification along L . We analyze the different combinatorial structures that appear in the proof of the lemma to construct ρ and ρ' . We keep the notation used in the latter.

Suppose the linear re-embedding produces a decontraction of an edge e adjacent to v in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$, i.e. $k = k'$. Since the trapezoid $v^\vee$ has height 1, we conclude that e has a unique preimage e' in $\Sigma(I_{g,f})$. Call ρ and ρ' its ends and let $\Gamma = \{e'\}$. By construction, $\text{trop}(\rho') = \text{trop}(\rho) = v \in \text{Trop}(g)$ and their stars in $\Sigma(I_{g,f})$ contain e' . Their stars are not contracted in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ and each one contains at least three edges, since $\text{trop}(\rho')$ and $\text{trop}(\rho)$ are two distinct non-bivalent vertices. By




FIGURE 4. From right to left: The dual cells to a high-multiplicity vertical edge e in $\text{Trop}(g)$ and its 3-valent endpoints v and v' in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g} = g(z - \zeta, y)$ for a suitable ζ with $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$. The arrows on the right indicate the direction of the feeding process from g to $\tilde{g}$. The left picture shows the 2-cells dual to three vertices ω , v and v' forming part of a cycle in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$.

balancing, one edge from each star in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ maps to a segment in the line L under the projection π_{XY} . By diagram (2.10), we conclude that the stars of ρ and ρ' are not contracted by trop , while the path between them is.

On the contrary assume $k < k'$. Then, Lemma 3.8 produces a chain of edges $\mathcal{C}$ in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$ containing v as one of its vertices. We see $\mathcal{C}$ in the right of Figure 3. Notice that v lies on an edge e of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$, and e has multiplicity 1. By construction $\Sigma(I_{g,f})$ contains a unique edge e' mapping to e under trop . In particular, it contains a unique point ρ' mapping to v under trop .

The chain $\mathcal{C}$ maps to L under π_{XY} . By convexity, this chain contains a point v' of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$ that maps to v under π_{XY} . Furthermore, we can choose v' to be a vertex of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ or a point in the interior of an edge whose direction is not $(0, 0, -1)$. In both cases, π_{XY} does not contract the star of v' in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$. We define $\Gamma := \text{trop}^{-1}(\sigma_3^{-1})$, so $\text{trop}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \Gamma$. This graph contains the preimage of v' . Since $m_{\text{Trop}}(v') \geq 1$, (2.8) implies the existence of a point ρ' in Γ mapping to v' but whose star is not contracted by trop .

By further refining the structure of $\Sigma(I_{g,f})$, we may assume ρ and ρ' are vertices of $\Sigma(I_{g,f})$, and hence of Γ . Diagram (2.10) guarantees that the stars of ρ and ρ' in Γ are not contracted in $\text{Trop}(g)$. Moreover, trop folds and/or contracts some edges of Γ : its image lies on L . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. For simplicity, we suppose that the dual cell to the vertical edge e in the Newton subdivision of g is the horizontal edge with endpoints (i, j) and $(i+n, j)$. Without loss of generality, we further assume all coefficients of g have non-negative valuation and $\text{val}(c_{i,j}) = \text{val}(c_{i+n,j}) = 0$, so e lies in the line $X = 0$. We write $v = (0, B)$ and $v' = (0, B')$ with $B' < B$ for the trivalent endpoints of e . Figure 4 depicts the dual cells to e, v and v' .

We let $a_0, \dots, a_n$ be the initial terms of the coefficients of $x^i y^j, \dots, x^{i+n} y^j$ in g , and $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ be the initial terms of the coefficients of $x^k y^l$ and $x^{k'} y^{l'}$ in g , respectively. In particular, we know that $a_0, a_n, b_0, b_1 \neq 0$. We define

$$h(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k = \text{in}_e(g)/(x^i y^j) \in \mathbb{C}[x].$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.6, we work with a tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along $F = \max\{X, 0\}$, and we let $\tilde{g} = g(z - \zeta, y)$, where $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$. Write $\zeta_0 = \text{in}_t(\zeta)$. Expression (3.1) shows that all coefficients $\tilde{c}_{k,j}$ with $0 \leq k \leq i+n$ have expected valuation 0. A similar direct calculation proves

that the constant term of $\tilde{c}_{0,j}$ equals $(-\zeta_0)^i h(-\zeta_0)$, and the constant term of $\tilde{c}_{1,j}$ equals

$$\text{in}_t(\tilde{c}_{1,j}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k k (-\zeta_0)^{k-1} = h'(-\zeta_0) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (k+i) (-\zeta_0)^{k+i-1} = (-\zeta_0 h'(-\zeta_0) + i h(-\zeta_0)) (-\zeta_0)^{i-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since Δ_e is the discriminant of the univariate polynomial h of degree $n > 1$, we know that $h(\zeta_0)$ and $h'(\zeta_0)$ have no common solution in $\mathbb{C}^*$. Thus, we can pick ζ_0 to be any of the n simple roots of $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{C}^*$. This choice ensures that the valuation of $\tilde{c}_{0,j}$ is strictly positive while $\text{val}(\tilde{c}_{1,j}) = 0$. Therefore, the edge with endpoints $(1, j)$ and $(i+n, j)$ lies in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ and at height 0. By construction, we have $\text{val}(\tilde{c}_{k,l}) = \text{val}(c_{k,l})$ and $\text{val}(\tilde{c}_{k',l'}) = \text{val}(c_{k',l'})$. Combining these facts with the previous arguments ensures that v and v' are vertices of $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$.

The feeding process described above can also be applied to determine the valuations of the coefficients $\tilde{c}_{0,l}$ and $\tilde{c}_{0,l'}$. We argue for the point $(0, l)$. By construction, the highest point of the Newton subdivision of g along the horizontal line $Y = l$ is (k, l) . As we illustrate with the red dashed lines in Figure 4, our choice of ζ above and a direct calculation ensure that the height of the point $(0, l)$ in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ equals $-\text{val}(c_{k,l})$, as expected. Similarly, the points $(0, j+1), \dots, (0, l-1)$ have expected height induced by the height function of the dual cell $v^\vee$. Their actual heights can be lower. This ensures that the points $(1, j), (i+n, j), (k, l)$, and $(0, l)$ are part of the vertex set of a polygon in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ dual to v . This polygon contains at most one extra vertex $(0, s)$, with $j+1 \leq s \leq l$.

By symmetry between the endpoints v and v' , we can also find $l' \leq s' \leq j-1$ with the property that the polygon with vertices $(0, s'), (0, l'), (k', l'), (i+n, j), (1, j)$ lies in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ and is dual to $v' \in \text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$. In addition, our choice of s, s' ensures that the triangle with vertices $(0, s), (0, s'), (1, j)$ is a polygon in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$. It is dual to a vertex ω in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$. The points ω, v and v' induce a cycle in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$, as we see in the left of Figure 4.

We now use Lemma 2.2 to deduce that the linear re-embedding $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$ unfolds edges mapping to e by the projection π_{XY} , as in Figure 5. By construction, we know that $v = (0, B)$ and $v' = (0, B')$ are vertices of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$ and lie in the line $\{X = Z = 0\}$. Furthermore, we can find $\varepsilon > 0$ so that both open segments $\{0\} \times (B, B + \varepsilon) \times \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times (B' - \varepsilon, B') \times \{0\}$ inside $\{X = Z = 0\}$ do not meet $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$. If this were not the case, the intersection points would be part of the projection $\pi_{XY}(\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})) = \text{Trop}(g)$.

We claim that the open segment $\{0\} \times (B', B) \times \{0\}$ lies in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta}) \cap \{X = Z = 0\}$ and has multiplicity $n-1 > 0$. From the projection $\pi_{ZY}(\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})) = \text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$, we know that this segment contains no vertex of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$. We certify our claim by computing the star of v in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$ on each open cell σ_i° , $i = 1, 2, 3$ from Figure 4, and using the balancing condition. On the cells $\sigma_1^\circ \cup \sigma_2^\circ$, the star contains only two edges (with multiplicity), with directions $(j-l, k-i, 0)$ (in σ_1°) and $(l-j, i+n-k, l-j)$ (in σ_2°). Similarly, the cell σ_3° contains two edges of the star: their directions are $(0, 0, s-l)$ and $(0, -1, j-s)$ and. The last one is nothing but the lifting of the edge wv from $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$. The union of these four edges with multiplicities is not balanced at v . An edge with direction $(0, -1, 0)$ and multiplicity $n-1$ solves this issue.

By diagram (2.10) and Lemma 2.2 we see that $\text{trop}: \widehat{\Sigma}(I_{g,x+\zeta}) \setminus D_{I_{g,x+\zeta}} \rightarrow \text{Trop}(g)$ maps the bounded edges wv and wv' in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta}) \cap \sigma_3$ to the edge e in $\text{Trop}(g)$ with relative multiplicity 1. The map keeps their images disjoint away from the vertex ω . Hence, the linear re-embedding $I_{g,x+\zeta}$ unfolds the corresponding edges, as desired. $\square$

Remark 3.11. Notice that the arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.4 cannot be reversed. Indeed, pick any point p in the relative interior of e . Then $\text{in}_p(g) = \text{in}_e(g)$ is supported on an edge of length $n > 1$, so it is a zero-dimensional scheme of length n . If Δ_e vanishes at $\text{in}_w(g)$, then this scheme is non-reduced, and (2.8) provides no information to determine the value of the relative multiplicities.

Remark 3.12. From the proof of Theorem 3.4 we see that the unfolding procedure improves the situation: the multiplicity of the vertical edge e has decreased by 1 in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$. In particular,




FIGURE 5. From right to left: Using a linear re-embedding we unfold a double vertical edge on the right to produce a cycle on the left, as predicted by Theorem 3.4.

if $n = 2$, our method produces a cycle in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$ with vertices v, v' and w and multiplicity 1 on all its edges. Example 3.13 illustrates this phenomenon.

When $n > 2$ we would like to iterate this process and unfolds further the edge e in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$ when $\Delta_e(\text{in}_e(I_{g,x+\zeta})) \neq 0$. For this, we require v and v' to remain trivalent vertices in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+\zeta})$. This will indeed be the case when $s = l$, $s' = l'$ and $k = k' = 0$ (see Figure 4). This trivalent condition on v and v' need to be essential in concrete examples: the method will carry through whenever the special linear re-embedding induced by the iterated tropical modification returns a Newton subdivision as in the left of Figure 4.

Example 3.13. We consider a plane elliptic cubic curve C whose tropicalization contains a vertical double edge e with trivalent endpoints in place of a cycle. It is given by the equation

$$g(x, y) = t^3 x^3 + x^2 y + t^3 x y^2 + t y^3 + t^4 x^2 + (1 + t^2) x y + t^2 y^2 + t^5 x + (1 + t) y + t.$$

The tropical curve is depicted on the right of Figure 5. The dual edge to e in the Newton subdivision of g has lattice length 2 and contains the lattice points $(1, 0)$, $(1, 1)$ and $(1, 2)$. Its discriminant equals $\Delta_e = c_{1,1}^2 - 4c_{1,2}c_{1,0}$, so $\Delta_e(\text{in}_e(g)) \neq 0$. We use Theorem 3.4 and the vertical line $X = 0$ to unfold the double edge e and produce a cycle in the re-embedded tropical curve. Notice that $\text{val}(c_{0,1}) = \text{val}(c_{1,1}) = \text{val}(c_{2,1}) = 0$. We pick a special lifting $f = x - \zeta$ with $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$ and $\zeta_0 := \text{in}_t(\zeta)$, satisfying $\text{in}_t(c_{0,1}) - \zeta_0 \text{in}_t(c_{1,1}) + \zeta_0^2 \text{in}_t(c_{2,1}) = 1 - \zeta_0 + \zeta_0^2 = 0$. The function $f(x) = x - \frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$ produces the desired unfolding as we see on the left side of Figure 5. Remark 4.11 will show that this re-embedding induces an isometry between the cycle in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ and the circle corresponding to the minimal skeleton of the complete curve $\widehat{C}^{\text{an}}$. $\diamond$

Example 3.14. We consider the cubic curve with defining equation

$$g(x, y) = t^3 x^3 + t^5 x^2 y + t^3 x y^2 + t y^3 + x^2 + 3xy + t^2 y^2 + (2 + 3t/2)x + (3 + t^2)y + 1.$$

Its tropicalization is depicted in the right of Figure 6. The 4-valent vertex $(0, 0)$ lies in the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g)$ and it is locally reducible. It is dual to a height 1 trapezoid and $\Delta_{(0,0)}$ vanishes at $\text{in}_{(0,0)}(g)$.

Using Theorem 3.2 we unfold edges mapping to the straight line through this reducible vertex. We view the re-embedded curve $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ using the projections π_{XY} and π_{ZY} in Figure 6, the leftmost being the tropicalization of the plane curve $g(z - 1, y)$. $\diamond$

Example 3.15. We consider a smooth degree 4 plane curve of genus 3 with defining equation:

$$g = t^{13}x^4 + (1+3t^4)x^2y^2 + (1-2t^5)xy^3 + t^{12}y^4 + (1+2t^2)x^3 + (1-t^3)x^2y + txy^2 + t^6x^2 + t^3xy + t^{10}y + t^{14}.$$




FIGURE 6. From right to left: We use a linear re-embedding to unfold edges of a plane tropical curve with a 4-valent reducible vertex traversed by a vertical line. The corresponding image under π_{ZY} has equation $\tilde{g}(z, y) = g(z - 1, y)$. The end-most pictures correspond to the Newton subdivisions of $\tilde{g}$ and g , respectively. The integer numbers adjacent to each lattice point indicate the negative valuation of the corresponding coefficients. The linear re-embedding lowers the red points.

The induced Newton subdivision is depicted in the right of Figure 8 (the missing height values can be taken to be $-\infty$). The point $(0, 0)$ is the unique locally reducible vertex of the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ in the right of Figure 7. Its star is the union of two skew lines: $X - Y = 0$ and $X - 2Y = 0$. The local discriminant $\Delta_{(0,0)}$ vanishes at $\text{in}_{(0,0)}(g)$. By Remark 3.3, the line $X - Y = 0$ gives us two ways to locally repair the tropical curve around $(0, 0)$ by a linear re-embedding. We obtain two distinct yet isometric tropical curves in $\mathbb{R}^3$ that map to $\text{Trop}(g)$ under the projection π_{XY} .

First, we aim to apply the technique described in Theorem 3.2. In order to do so, we must perform a unimodular transformation on the tropical curve to fall into our standard trapezoid from Figure 2. Via a monomial change of coordinates α and a translation, we make the vertex $(0, 0)$ dual to a unit square as in the right of Figure 8. The skew line $X - Y = 0$ maps to the vertical line $U = 0$ in the UV -plane. The corresponding linear tropical modification induces a linear re-embedding by $z = f(u, v) = u + 1$. In order to see the effect of this change in the original coordinates x and y , we apply the inverse monomial map α^{-1} as in the left of Figure 8. This procedure yields the desired re-embedding by the ideal $I_{g, f \circ \alpha^{-1}} = \langle g, z - (xy^{-1} - 1) \rangle$. This result cannot solely be obtained with linear tropical modifications.

The projection of $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f \circ \alpha^{-1}})$ under π_{ZY} is the curve $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ in the left of Figure 7, where $\tilde{g}(z, y) = g(y(z - 1), y)$. We can recover $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f \circ \alpha^{-1}})$ by gluing $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ and $\text{Trop}(g)$ together with the following rules: points on σ_3 and σ_1 are of the form (Y, Y, Z) and $(X, Y, 0)$, respectively, and all points in σ_2 satisfy $Z = X - Y$. The projection π_{XY} contracts the edge from $(0, 0, -1)$ to $(0, 0, 0)$ in the re-embedded tropical curve.

Notice that the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f \circ \alpha^{-1}})$ has three cycles containing only trivalent vertices and multiplicity one edges. By [3, Theorem 6.23], the tropicalization map is faithful on these three cycles. Since the original curve is smooth and non-rational, we know that its completion admits a unique minimal skeleton with three cycles (see Section 2.2). This skeleton is the complete graph K_4 on 4 nodes and we can see an isometric copy of it in the re-embedded tropical curve $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f \circ \alpha^{-1}})$ via the map trop .

Our second possibility for locally repairing $\text{Trop}(g)$ around the vertex $(0, 0)$ is by employing a single a linear tropical modification along the skew line $X = Y$ and using the special lifting $f(x, y) = x + y$. Figure 9 shows the image of $I_{g, x+y}$ under π_{ZY} and the impact of this linear change of coordinates on the Newton subdivisions of $g(x, y)$ and $\tilde{g}(z, y) = g(z - y, y)$. By construction, the projection π_{XY} sends a point (x, y, z) in $\text{Trop}(I_{g, x+y}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$ to (y, y) , so it contracts




FIGURE 7. From right to left: We conjugate a linear tropical modification by an affine transformation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ to decontract an edge of a degree 4 plane tropical curve of genus 3 with a 4-valent reducible vertex traversed by a skew line. The corresponding image under π_{YZ} has equation $\tilde{g}(z, y) = g(y(z - 1), y)$.




FIGURE 8. Decontraction of a locally reducible vertex in $\text{Trop}(g)$ contained in a skew line by conjugating a linear tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ (middle map) by monomial change of coordinates. The corresponding dual plane tropical curve to the endmost Newton subdivisions are depicted in Figure 7.




FIGURE 9. From left to right: The output of a linear tropical modification along the skew line $X = Y$ and its effect on the Newton subdivisions of g and $\tilde{g}$. The left most picture is the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g(z - y, y))$ and it should be compared with the leftmost tropical curve in Figure 8.

the edge with endpoints $(0, 0, -1)$ and $(0, 0, 0)$, as well as the three ends with directions $(0, 0, -1)$. $\diamond$

4. TROPICAL ELLIPTIC CURVES

4.1. The j -invariant of a tropical elliptic curve. Throughout this section, we fix an equation $g \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[x, y]$ defining a plane elliptic cubic, and we fix the valuation of its coefficients. This data determines a unique tropical elliptic cubic $\text{Trop}(g)$. This tropical curve contains a cycle only when the interior point $(1, 1)$ is visible in the Newton subdivision of g . It is this case that interests us the most. Our starting point is the well-known formula to compute the j -invariant from g :

$$(4.1) \quad j(g) = \frac{A}{\Delta} \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}.$$

We view $j(g)$ as a degree zero rational function in the coefficients of g , defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. The denominator Δ is the discriminant of the cubic polynomial g . The j -invariant has expected valuation

$$\text{val}_j(g) = \text{val}(A) - \text{val}(\Delta),$$

called the *generic valuation* of the j -invariant.

In this situation, [15, Theorem 11] ensures that $-\text{val}_j(g)$ gives the cycle length of the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$. Furthermore, [15, Lemma 23] shows that in this case, failure to have the expected valuation of $j(g)$ is caused exclusively by an increment in the valuation of Δ . This means two things: first, the length of the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g)$ is bounded above by $-\text{val}(j(g))$ and second, the initial form in the t -expansion of Δ vanishes at g . In the remainder of this section, we use these two facts to repair the cycle of tropical plane elliptic cubic using linear re-embeddings.

4.2. How to repair the cycle of a tropical plane elliptic cubic. The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem. Its proof will yield a symbolic algorithm for repairing the cycle of a tropical plane elliptic cubic in dimension 4 (see Algorithm 1).

Theorem 4.1. *Consider a plane elliptic cubic in $(\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}^*)^2$ defined by $g \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[x_1, x_2]$. Assume $\text{val}(j(g)) < 0$ and that $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a cycle whose length does not reflect the j -invariant. Then, we can recursively repair it with linear tropical modifications of the ambient space. The resulting ambient space has dimension at most 4.*

As mentioned in the Introduction, our main tool to prove this result will be a mild adaptation of Theorem 3.2. A series of lemmas simplifies the exposition. The heart of these technical statements will allow us to bound the ambient dimension of the linear re-embedding after suitable projections.

Our goal is to recursively unfold and decontract edges of the tropical curve until we obtain the correct cycle length by a linear re-embedding. Since we aim at a recursive procedure, we abstain from applying a monomial coordinate change to g as in Remark 3.3 to put any locally reducible vertex into our standard trapezoid dual cell from Figure 2. Instead, we use modification along horizontal, vertical or slope 1 “skew” lines, thus ensuring that each step of the linear re-embedding gives us back a plane cubic equation $\tilde{g}$. We let f be an algebraic lift of the tropical polynomial defining the vertical, horizontal or skew line we use to modify the plane. Notice that all trapezoids in Figure 2 have a basis of length one, hence the t -initial coefficient of ζ in the lifting f producing unfold or decontraction of edges (as in Lemma 3.8) will be unique. When considering the linearly re-embedded ideal $I_{g,f}$ and the projections to different charts, we obtain coordinate changes of the form $x \mapsto z - \zeta$ for vertical lines, $y \mapsto z - \zeta$ for horizontal lines and $x \mapsto z - \zeta y$ for skew lines, as indicated in Figure 10.

For the remaining of this section, we let N be the common denominator of all Puiseux series coefficients of g . Then the coefficients of g are Laurent series in $t^{1/N}$, and the coordinates of vertices and edge lengths in $\text{Trop}(g)$ are in $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$. The proof of Theorem 3.2 shows that we can always pick $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))$, thus the same holds true for $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ and $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f_1,\dots,f_r})$. Each step of our recursion will increase the cycle length by a positive number in $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$.

In order to iteratively apply Theorem 3.2, we need to make sure that the requirements are satisfied at each step. We claim that the cycle contains a locally reducible vertex with vanishing




FIGURE 10. From top to bottom: We depict all possible shapes (up to reflections) of dual cells to locally reducible vertices in the cycle of a tropical plane elliptic cubic. They are ordered according to the slope of the line passing through each vertex: the first row corresponds to the vertical lines, the second to the horizontal lines and the third to the skew lines $X = Y + l$ for $l \in \mathbb{R}$. The remaining cases can be obtained by reflections along each modifying lines determined by each row, i.e. $x \mapsto -x$, $y \mapsto -y$ and $x \leftrightarrow y$, respectively. The coordinate change needed to describe the linear re-embedding via projections as in Lemma 2.2 and the feeding process in the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ are indicated on the right-hand side of the picture.

local discriminant. Indeed, since the cycle is shorter than expected, [15, Theorem 11] ensures that the Newton subdivision of g cannot be a triangulation. Figure 10 shows all possible non-simplicial 2-cells in the Newton subdivision of g , up to reflection. All these cells are equivalent to the trapezoid in Figure 2 by a unimodular transformation, and $s = 1$. The corresponding dual vertices in $\text{Trop}(g)$ are locally reducible. Corollaries 2.10 and 2.13 guarantee that the discriminant of one of these locally reducible vertices vanishes. By Remark 3.7 the feeding process for any of these locally reducible vertices is verbatim to the one we considered in detail in Theorem 3.2. Furthermore, in the notation of Lemma 3.8, we conclude that $k' = 1$ for all such vertices.

The second hypothesis deals with the genericity convention 3.1. In Section 3 we formulated a strong condition to simplify the notation and arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.2. However, when restricted to the case of elliptic cubics with a visible cycle in their tropicalizations, the arguments still carry along even when some cancellations occur among the coefficients of $\tilde{g}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume too many cancellations occur to prevent a decontraction or unfolding in the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$. Then the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ breaks the visible cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ and we conclude that g defines a rational curve.*

Example 4.3. Consider the plane cubic with defining equation:

$$g = t^4 x^2 y + 5t^3 xy^2 + t^9 y^3 + x^2 + 3xy + t^2 y^2 + 2x + (3 - t^4)y + 1.$$

Its Newton subdivision is depicted on the right of Figure 11. We let $\mathcal{P}$ be the trapezoid with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(1, 1)$ and $(2, 0)$. We modify $\mathbb{R}^2$ along a vertical line passing through the locally reducible vertex dual to $\mathcal{P}$. By Lemma 3.6, the coefficients $\tilde{c}_{0,0}$ and $\tilde{c}_{0,1}$ in $\tilde{g}(z, y) = g(z - \zeta, y)$ will have strictly positive (unexpected) valuation only when the initial term of ζ is -1 . A simple calculation reveals

$$\tilde{g} = t^4 z^2 y + 5t^3 zy^2 + t^9 y^3 + z^2 + (3 - 2t^4)zy + (t^2 - 5t^3)y^2.$$

In particular, we obtain $\tilde{c}_{0,0} = \tilde{c}_{1,0} = 0$.




FIGURE 11. Unfolding a cycle of a rational cubic with a linear re-embedding whose corresponding coordinate change cancels too many monomials.

The projection $\pi_{ZY}(\text{Trop}(I_{g,x-1})) = \text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ is shown in the left of Figure 11. Using Lemma 2.2 we conclude that $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x-1}) \cap \{X = Z = 0\}$ consists of three points: $(0, 7, 0)$, $(0, 2, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 0)$. Furthermore, $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x-1}) \cap \sigma_3^\circ$ is the union of three ends, and thus $\text{Trop}(I_{g,x-1})$ contains no cycle. The linear re-embedded curve is then rational and this procedure unfolds the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g)$. $\diamond$

Proof of Lemma 4.2. We describe which cancellations can prevent a decontraction or unfolding of the cycle from $\text{Trop}(g)$. Since the feeding process is symmetric for the three families of straight lines along which we modify, it is sufficient to prove our claim for a locally reducible vertex v dual to the trapezoid $\mathcal{P}$ from Figure 2 and for tropical modifications along vertical lines. We stick to the notation used in the proof of Lemma 3.8. Henceforth, the interior point $(1, 1)$ is a vertex of the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ and it corresponds to the one of the two points $(1, j)$ or $(1, j + 1)$ in the previous notation.

Let us first assume it equals $(1, j + 1)$. In this case, $\mathcal{P}$ has top vertices $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 1)$. In the proof of Lemma 3.8, we argued that the Newton subdivision of $\tilde{g}$ contains either the edge with vertices $(1, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$ (responsible for a decontraction of a bounded edge), or that the subdivision contains an edge connecting $(1, 1)$ to $(0, k)$ for $k \leq 1$ (responsible for an unfolding). Notice that if certain monomials from $\tilde{g}$ vanish, the previous two cells need not be in the subdivision. A case-by-case analysis when g is a cubic shows that no unfolding or decontraction of edges occurs if and only if none the three monomials 1 , z and y are present in $\tilde{g}$. But in this case, $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f}) \cap \sigma_3$ would not be connected, and we would unfold the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ in the linear re-embedding $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$. By diagram (2.10), this contradicts our hypothesis that g is an elliptic cubic with bad reduction.

Similarly, if $(1, j) = (1, 1)$ we know that $\mathcal{P}$ has top vertices $(1, 1)$ and $(2, 1)$. The only situation in which a violation of the genericity assumption prevents an unfolding or decontraction of bounded edges is when all monomials $z^i y^j$ in $\tilde{g}$ with $0 \leq i + j \leq 2$ cancel completely. This would again lead to an unfolding of the cycle, so g cannot be an elliptic cubic. $\square$

The following definition allows us to simplify our repairing techniques:

Definition 4.4. Assume the tropical plane elliptic cubic $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a cycle and a locally reducible vertex v with vanishing discriminant, and let L be a straight line in $\text{Star}_{\text{Trop}(g)}(v)$. If L is vertical (resp., horizontal) with equation $\{X = l\}$ (resp., $\{Y = l\}$), we say that the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ is *on the visible side* of L if it lies on the halfspace $L^+ := \{X \geq l\}$ (resp., $L^+ := \{Y \geq l\}$).

By convexity, we know that the cycle lies entirely on one of the halfspaces induced by L . The definition is motivated by Lemma 2.2: under the given conditions, the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ is visible

in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$, and its length is strictly larger than the length of the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$. The equation $\tilde{g}$ gives a planar linear re-embedding of the elliptic cubic that improves the embedding induced by g .

Remark 4.5. Notice that if L is the skew line $L := \{Y = X + l\}$, we can always exchange Y and X so that the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ lies in $L^+ := \{Y \geq X + l\}$. In that case, the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ is visible in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g}(x, z))$ and we improve the embedding of the curve by replacing g with $\tilde{g}(x, z)$. In this sense, skew lines are special: the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ is always on the visible side of L .

Our next result shows that the combinatorics of the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g)$ can be simplified by means of affine changes of coordinates constructed from tropical modifications of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along straight lines.

Lemma 4.6. *Consider a plane elliptic cubic in $(\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}^*)^2$ with bad reduction defined by $g \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2]$. Assume that $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a cycle. Then, there exists an affine change of coordinates $A: \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[z_1, z_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2]$ such that $\text{Trop}(g \circ A)$ contains a cycle and the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *the length of the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g \circ A)$ is bounded below by the length of the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$;*
- (ii) *if v is a locally reducible vertex on the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g \circ A)$ with vanishing discriminant, then no skew lines traverses v and no horizontal or vertical line through v contains the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g \circ A)$ on its visible side.*

The affine map A is constructed by means of tropical modifications of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along straight lines.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $q = -\text{val}(j(g)) - \ell(g) \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where $\ell(g)$ denotes the length of the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g)$. If $q = 0$, the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains no locally reducible vertex with vanishing discriminant by Corollary 2.13. We take $A := \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2]}$.

Assume $q > 0$ and that the result is true for all $r < q$ with $r \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $q > 0$ we know that $\text{Trop}(g)$ does not reflect the j -invariant of g , and so the cycle of g contains a locally reducible vertex. If g satisfies (ii), we take $A = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2]}$. If condition (ii) fails, there are two reasons for this. We analyze each case separately.

First, assume the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a locally reducible vertex v traversed by a skew line $L := \{Y = X + A\}$. By symmetry we may assume that the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ lies in L^+ . Let $f = y + \zeta t^{-A}x$ be as in Lemma 3.8 and Remark 3.7 with $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$, and write $\tilde{g}(z, y) := g(\zeta^{-1}t^A(z - y), y)$. Remark 4.5 ensures that the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ is visible in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$. By construction, $\text{val}(j(g)) = \text{val}(j(\tilde{g}))$ and $\ell(g) < \ell(\tilde{g}) \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ thus $r := -\text{val}(j(\tilde{g})) - \ell(\tilde{g}) < q$ and $r \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$. By the inductive hypothesis, we can find an affine transformation $A': \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[z_1, z_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[z, y]$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) for $\tilde{g}$. The map $A = (\zeta^{-1}t^A(z - y), y) \circ A'$ verifies the desired requirements.

Finally, suppose no skew line traverses any locally reducible vertex in $\text{Trop}(g)$ with vanishing discriminant, but the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ is on the visible side of a horizontal or vertical line L through one of these vertices. By symmetry, we can assume $L := \{X = A\}$. Let $f = x + \zeta t^{-A}$ be as in Lemma 3.8 with $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))$ and $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$. Write $\tilde{g}(z, y) = g(z - \zeta t^{-A}, y)$. As in the previous case, our choice ensures that $\text{val}(j(g)) = \text{val}(j(\tilde{g}))$ and $r := -\text{val}(j(\tilde{g})) - \ell(\tilde{g}) < q$ with $r \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We use the inductive hypothesis to construct an affine map A' for $\tilde{g}$. The map $A = (z - \zeta t^{-A}, y) \circ A'$ satisfies both conditions in the statement. $\square$

Remark 4.7. Assume the cubic polynomial g satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.6. A simple calculation shows that the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g)$ admits only seven possible shapes for locally reducible vertices and their dual cells in the Newton subdivision of g . They are depicted in Figure 10. We conclude that at most two of these vertices will have vanishing discriminants. We call them v_1 and v_2 . Furthermore, we assume that v_1 is traversed by the horizontal line $L_1 := \{X = 0\}$, and v_2 (if it exists) is traversed by the vertical line $L_2 := \{Y = a\}$ with $a > 0$. The cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ is not on the visible side of L_1 nor L_2 .




FIGURE 12. Combinatorics and heights of the dual cells to the distinguished vertices v_2, v_0 and v_1 . Here, $i = 0, 1$ and we disallow the combination $i = n = 1$.

Let v_0 be the vertex in the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ adjacent to v_1 and lying on L_1 . Figure 12 shows the combinatorics of the distinguished dual cells $v_0^\vee, v_1^\vee$ and $v_2^\vee$ in the Newton subdivision of g and the corresponding heights, where $a, b > 0$. Notice that condition (ii) from Lemma 4.6 ensures that $i = 0, 1$ and for both $v_1^\vee$ and $v_2^\vee$. We disallow the combination $i = n = 1$. Furthermore, the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ lies on the halfspace $\{Y \geq 0\}$.

Our proof strategy for Theorem 4.1 will consist on repairing the vertices v_1 and v_2 separately. The following result allows us to repair the cycle of the curve locally around v_1 by a linear re-embedding in dimension 3. We will use a variant of this result when dealing with v_2 .

Lemma 4.8. *Let $g \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y]$ define a plane elliptic cubic with bad reduction, satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4.6 for $\varphi = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y]}$. Assume Trop contains a locally reducible vertex v_1 with vanishing discriminant, traversed by the line $L := \{X = 0\}$. Then, there exists an affine map $\psi: \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y]$ with $\psi(x) = x$, $\psi(y) = y + \alpha$ and $\text{val}(\alpha) \geq 0$, and a polynomial $f_{r+1} := x + \zeta_1 + \zeta_{11}t^{-A_1} + \dots + \zeta_{1r}t^{-A_r} \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x]$ with $\text{val}(\zeta_1) = \text{val}(\zeta_{11}) = \dots = \text{val}(A_{1r}) = 0$ and $0 > A_1 > \dots > A_r$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $I_{g \circ \psi, f_{r+1}} \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y, z_{1r}]$ is a linear re-embedding of $g \circ \psi$ constructed from a linear tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ adapted to $g \circ \psi$ as in Lemma 3.8;
- (2) the weighted sets $\text{Trop}(g) \cap \sigma_1^\circ \cap \{Y \geq 0\}$ and $\text{Trop}(g \circ \psi) \cap \sigma_1^\circ \cap \{Y \geq 0\}$ agree;
- (3) $I_{g \circ \psi, f_{r+1}}$ contains no locally reducible vertex with vanishing discriminant in σ_3 .

Furthermore, assume g contains a second locally reducible vertex v_2 with vanishing discriminant, traversed by the $L_2 := \{Y = a\}$ with $a > 0$. Then, the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g \circ \psi, f_{r+1}})$ lies on the halfspace $L_2^- := \{Y \leq a\}$ and it meets the hyperplane $(Y = a)$ only along the edge joining v_0 and v_2 in σ_1 .

Proof. We pick a suitable function $f_1 := x + \zeta_1$ where $\text{val}(\zeta_1) = 0$ as in Lemma 3.8. We set $\tilde{g}(z_1, y) = g(z_1 - \zeta, y) \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))$. The induced linear re-embedding I_{g, f_1} decontract/unfolds edges of $\widehat{\Sigma}(g) \setminus D_g$ mapping to L_1 in $\text{Trop}(g)$. In particular, $0 < \ell(I_{g, f_1}) - \ell(g) \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that the cycle of I_{g, f_1} lies in the cells $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_3$. The point v_0 is a vertex of $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ and its dual cell has four possible shapes, namely all polygons in the center of Figure 12 except for the triangle with vertices $(1, 1), (1, 2)$ and $(2, 1)$.

Notice that v_1 is also a vertex of $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$. It can be trivalent or locally reducible, with or without vanishing discriminant. Lemma 3.8 ensures that when viewed in $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f_1})$, the multiplicity of $v_1 \times \{0\}$ is 1, even though its multiplicity on $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ can be 2. Similarly, the vertex $v_0 \times \{0\}$ in $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f_{r+1}})$ has multiplicity 1.

By construction, the curve $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ contains at most one locally reducible vertex v_{11} with vanishing discriminant in the cell σ_3° . Furthermore, such vertex is traversed by a horizontal or vertical line L_{11} , with equations $Z_1 = A_1$ or $Y = B_1$, and $A_1, B_1 \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $A_1 < 0$ and $B_1 \leq 0$. In both cases, the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f})$ lies on the hyperplane L_{11}^+ .

We construct both elements ψ and f_{r+1} in a recursive fashion, by performing tropical modifications of $\mathbb{R}_{Z_1, Y}^2$ along r horizontal and s vertical lines of the form $Z_1 = A_i$ or $Y = B_i$ for suitable $A_1 > A_2 > \dots > A_r$ in $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ and $B_1 > B_2 > \dots > B_s$. We proceed by induction on $q := -\text{val}(j(g)) - \ell(I_{g, f_1}) \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Notice that $0 \leq q < -\text{val}(j(g))$. If $q = 0$, [15, Theorem

11] ensures that the vertex $v_{11} \in \sigma_3^\circ$ does not exist. Our statement is satisfied by the choice $\psi = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x,y]}$ and $r = 0$.

Next, we assume the statement holds for all $0 \leq k < q$ in $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ and that the problematic vertex v_{11} does indeed exist. We use L_{11} and Lemma 3.8 to repair the embedding around v_{11} , by working with the plane with coordinates (Z_1, Y) . In principle, this produces a linear re-embedding $I_{\tilde{g}, f_{11}} \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[z_1, y, z_{11}]$ of the curve $\tilde{g}$, and in turn, a new linear re-embedding $I_{g, f_1, f_{11}} := \langle g, z_1 - f_1, z_{11} - f_{11} \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y, z_1, z_{11}]$ of the input curve g . We claim that we can simplify the situation by a linear projection, and produce a linear re-embedding of g in dimension 3 that preserves the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f_1, f_{11}})$. The projection depends on the nature of L_{11} .

If $L_{11} := \{Z_1 = A_1\}$, our lifting function has the form $f_{11} := z_1 + \zeta_{11}t^{-A_1}$ with $\text{val}(\zeta_{11}) = 0$. We collect f_1 and f_{11} , and define

$$f_2 := x + \zeta_1 + \zeta_{11}t^{-A_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}'(z_{11}, y) := \tilde{g}(z_{11} - f_{11}, y) = g(z_{11} - \zeta_1 - \zeta_{11}t^{-A_1}, y).$$

The function $z_{11} - f_2$ belongs to the ideal $J := \langle z_1 - f_1, z_{11} - f_{11} \rangle$.

The given two generators of J form a tropical basis. The tropical plane $\text{Trop}(J)$ contains 2 edges and 5 two-dimensional cells $\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{22}, \sigma_{32}, \sigma_{31}$ and σ_{33} . Each σ_{ij} is obtained by intersecting the 2-cell σ_i corresponding to $\text{Trop}(z_1 - f_1)$ and the 2-cell σ_j of $\text{Trop}(z_{11} - f_{11})$ (each viewed in $\mathbb{R}^4$). For example, $\sigma_{12} := \{X \leq 0, Z_{11} = Z_1 = 0\}$.

By Proposition 2.3, we recover $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f_1, f_{11}}) \subset \text{Trop}(J)$ from the three projections $\text{Trop}(g)$, $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g}(z_1, y))$ and $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g}'(z_{11}, y))$. Notice that $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ lies in the visible side of $L_{11} \subset \mathbb{R}_{Z_1, Y}^2$, so the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{\tilde{g}, f_{11}})$ is visible on $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g}')$. Thus, the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f_1, f_{11}})$ lies on the union $\sigma_{32} \cup \sigma_{33} \cup \sigma_{12}$. These cells are parametrized by the pairs (Z_{11}, Y) , (Z_{11}, Y) and (X, Y) , respectively. The linear re-embedding $I_{g, f_2} \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y, z_{11}]$ contains a cycle isometric to the cycle in $I_{g, f_1, f_{11}}$. It is induced by the lifting $z_{11} - f_2$, i.e. by the linear tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ (with coordinates (X, Y)) along $X = 0$. We take $\psi_1 := \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x,y]}$.

On the other hand, assume $L_{11} := \{Y = B_1\}$. We proceed in a similar fashion to the previous case. We work with the curve $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$, a linear tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}_{Z_1, Y}^2$ along L_{11} and a lifting $f_{11} := y + \zeta_{11}t^{-B_1}$ with ζ_{11} as in Lemma 3.8 that prolongs the cycle of $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ in $\text{Trop}(I_{\tilde{g}, f_{11}})$. As before, the plane $J := \langle z_1 - f_1, z_{11} - f_{11} \rangle$ is generated by a tropical basis, and the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f_1, f_{11}})$ lies in the union of the cells σ_{12}, σ_{32} and σ_{33} . Furthermore, it lies on the hyperplanes $L_2^- := \{Y \leq a\}$ and $L_{11}^+ := \{Y \geq B_1\}$. We define $f_2 := f_1 \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[y]$. The lifting f_{11} defines an affine map $\psi_1: \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y]$ with $\psi_1(x) = x$ and $\psi_1(y) = f_{11}$.

In both cases, the points v_0, v_2 are vertices on the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g \circ \psi_1, f_2})$. Furthermore, our hypotheses ensure that the cycles of $\text{Trop}(g \circ \psi_1)$ and $\text{Trop}(g)$ agree, and $I_{g \circ \psi_1, f_2} \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y, z_{11}]$ is obtained by a tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along $X = 0$ adapted to the curve $g \circ \psi_1$ as in Lemma 3.8. In particular, $\ell(I_{g, f_1}) \leq \ell(I_{g \circ \psi_1, f_2}) \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$. In addition to the potential vertex v_2 , the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f_2})$ contains at most one other locally reducible vertex v_{12} with vanishing discriminant. This vertex lies in σ_3° and it is traversed by a straight line of the form $\{Z_{11} = A_2\}$ or $\{Y = B_2\}$ where $A_2, B_2 \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $A_1 > A_2$ and $B_1 > B_2$, whenever applicable.

If no such vertex v_{12} exists, the functions ψ_1 and f_2 satisfy the requirements of the statement. In the presence of the problematic vertex v_{12} , we define $k := -\text{val}(j(g \circ \psi_1)) - \ell(I_{g \circ \psi_1, f_2})$. By the inductive hypothesis, there exists $r > 0$, an affine map $\psi_2: \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y]$ with $\psi_2(x) = x$ and $\psi_2(y) = y + \alpha$ with $\text{val}(\alpha) \geq 0$, and a polynomial f_{r+1} of the form $f_{r+1} = x + \zeta_1 + \zeta_{11}t^{-A_1} + A_{12}t^{-A_2} + \dots + A_{1r}t^{-A_r}$ satisfying the conditions of the statement for the curve $g \circ \psi_1$. The function $\psi := \psi_1 \circ \psi_2$ and the polynomial f_{r+1} verify the result.

Finally, assume $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains the problematic vertex v_2 . Write $\widetilde{g \circ \psi}(z_{1r}, y) := I_{g \circ \psi, f_{r+1}} \cap \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[z_{1r}, y]$. An easy convexity argument shows that the dual cell to v_0 in the Newton subdivision of $\widetilde{g \circ \psi}$ is the parallelogram or the trapezoid in the center of Figure 12. It follows that

$\{Y = a\} \cap \text{Trop}(\widetilde{g \circ \psi}) = \{v_0\}$. The last claim in the statement follows from Lemma 2.2 and condition (2). This concludes our proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Fix N such that $g \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y]$. Since the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ does not reflect the j -invariant of g , by Theorem 3.2 we know that the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a locally reducible vertex with vanishing discriminant. After applying an affine change of coordinates in $\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))^2$ as in Lemma 4.6, we may assume that g satisfies the conditions of the lemma for $A = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y]}$. Furthermore, by Remark 4.7, we know that $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a vertex v_1 with vanishing discriminant traversed by the vertical line $\{X = 0\}$ and a potential vertex v_2 with vanishing discriminant traversed by the horizontal line $\{Y = a\}$, where $a > 0$.

Following earlier notation, we let $\ell(I) \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ be the length of the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I)$ induced by a linear re-embedding $I \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y, z_1, \dots, z_r]$ of the input plane elliptic cubic g . The ideal I will be constructed by iterative applications of Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.8.

Using Lemma 4.8, we repair the cycle locally around v_1 via the linear re-embedding $I_{g \circ \psi, f_1} \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y, z_1]$ in dimension 3. Lemma 2.2 ensures that the points $v_0 \times \{0\}$ and $v_1 \times \{0\}$ are vertices of $\text{Trop}(I_{g \circ \psi, f_1})$ and their multiplicity is 1.

First, suppose that the potential problematic vertex v_2 does not exist. Proposition 2.3 then ensures that all remaining vertices in the cycle of $I_{g \circ \psi, f_1}$ have multiplicity 1. Indeed, the vertices of the cycle in the relative interior of each chart σ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are either irreducible or locally reducible and with non-vanishing discriminant. All edges in the cycle have multiplicity 1. The map trop is faithful on the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g \circ \psi, f_1})$. Thus, the cycle has the expected length and witnesses the prolongation of the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g)$.

Finally, assume the vertex v_2 does exist. Write $\widetilde{g \circ \psi}(z_1, y) := I_{g \circ \psi, f_1} \cap \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[z_1, y]$. The vertex v_2 is contained in the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$, thus also in $\text{Trop}(g \circ \psi)$ and in $\text{Trop}(I_{g \circ \psi, f_1})$. Figure 12 ensures that the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ lies on $\{a \geq Y \geq 0\}$. In order to repair the embedding locally around v_2 we must work with two charts of $\text{Trop}(I_{g \circ \psi, f_1})$: the one containing the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g \circ \psi(x, y))$ and the one including the cycle in $\text{Trop}(\widetilde{g \circ \psi})$. We claim that we can disregard the latter. Indeed, by Lemma 4.8, the vertex v_0 in $\text{Trop}(\widetilde{g \circ \psi})$ is not traversed by the line $\{Y = a\}$. Suppose we perform a tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}_{Z_1, Y}^2$ along this line. Any choice of lifting function $h_2 := z_2 - \zeta_2 t^{-a}$ with $\text{val}(\zeta_2) = 0$ has the same effect on the curve $\text{Trop}(\widetilde{g \circ \psi})$: it induces a tropical modification of the curve. The cycle remains unchanged in $\text{Trop}(\widetilde{I_{g \circ \psi, f_1}}_{g \circ \psi, h_2})$, we see in the right most picture in Figure 15. In conclusion, we can disregard the charts with coordinates (Z_1, Y) when repairing the curve $\text{Trop}(I_{g \circ \psi, f_1})$ locally around v_2 .

Using the notation of Figure 12, we write $v_2 = (-b + ia, a)$, and $-b + ia < 0$. We work with the line $L_2 := \{Y = a\}$, the vertex v_2 and the input curve $\text{Trop}(g \circ \psi)$. By Lemma 4.8 we can find $f_2 := y + \zeta_2 t^{-a}$ and a map $\psi' : \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y]$ with $\psi'(x) = x + \beta t^{b-ia}$ and $\psi'(y) = y$, where $\zeta_2, \beta \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))$, $\text{val}(\zeta_2) = 0$ and $\text{val}(\beta) \geq 0$ such that the ideal $I_{g \circ \psi \circ \psi', f_2}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.8.

If $\psi' = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y]}$, we conclude that the ideal $I_{g(x, y+\alpha), f_1, f_2} \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y, z_1, z_2]$ induces a faithful linear re-embedding of the input curve in dimension 4 and its tropicalization has the expected cycle length. On the contrary, assume $\psi' \neq \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y]}$. The proof of Lemma 4.8 shows that ψ' is constructed from a vertical modification along a line $\{X = B\}$ with $B \leq -b + ia$. We write $f_3 := x + \beta t^{b-ia}$ and consider the ideal $I := I_{g(x, y+\alpha), f_1, f_2, f_3} \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x, y, z_1, z_2, z_3]$. The variables x, z_1 and z_3 are related by the linear forms $z_1 = f_1(x)$ and $z_3 = x + \beta t^{b-ia}$. They are liftings of linear tropical modifications along two parallel hyperplanes: $\{X = 0\}$ and $\{X = -b + ia\}$. We think of them as two vertical modifications that can be merged together. More precisely, the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I)$ is contained in the cells $\sigma_{133}, \sigma_{132}, \sigma_{111}, \sigma_{312}$, which can be parametrized without using the coordinate X . Therefore, we can project the ideal to the variables $\{z_3, y, z_1, z_2\}$ and obtain a new

linear re-embedding of the curve in dimension 4 by the ideal $\tilde{I} := I_{g(z_3 - \beta t^{b-ia}, y + \alpha), f_1(z_3 - \beta t^{b-ia}), f_2} \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[z_3, y, z_1, z_2]$. Example 4.10 shows an instance of such projection and the resulting linear re-embedding of g .

The corresponding tropical curve in $\mathbb{R}^4$ contains a cycle which is isometric to the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I) \subset \mathbb{R}^5$, and all its vertices and edges have multiplicity 1 by Proposition 2.3. Therefore, it prolongs the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g)$ and it has the expected cycle length. This concludes our proof. $\square$

Input: A polynomial $g(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2]$ defining a plane elliptic cubic with bad reduction, and $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a cycle of length $\ell < -\text{val } j(g)$

Output: A linear re-embedding $I_{g \circ \psi, f_3, f_4} \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ of the curve defined by g where ψ is an affine map defined on $\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2]$ and the cycle of $\text{Trop}(I_{g \circ \psi, f_3, f_4})$ has length $-\text{val}(j(g))$.

$I \leftarrow \langle g \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2]; \quad J \leftarrow 0 \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2]; \quad f_3 \leftarrow x_1;$
 $\psi \leftarrow \text{Id on } \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2]; \quad \ell \leftarrow \text{length of the cycle in } \text{Trop}(I);$
while *there exists a locally reducible vertex v in the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ with vanishing local discriminant and a vertical, horizontal or skew line L through v containing the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ on the visible side of L (as in Lemma 4.6)* **do**
 $f \leftarrow$ lifting of the linear tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along L that repairs v as in Lemma 3.8;
 $\psi \leftarrow$ affine transformation of $\mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2]$ induced by f that keeps the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ visible on $\text{Trop}(g \circ \psi)$;
 $g \leftarrow g \circ \psi; \quad I \leftarrow \langle g \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2].$
if $\text{Trop}(g)$ has a locally reducible vertex $v_0 = (A_1, A_2)$ with vanishing discriminant and a vertical line $L_1 = \{X_1 = A_1\}$ through it **then**
 $f_3 \leftarrow$ lifting of the tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along L_1 adapted to g ;
 $\tilde{g} \leftarrow (I + \langle x_3 - f_3 \rangle) \cap \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_2, x_3];$
 if $\tilde{g}$ contains a locally reducible vertex ω with vanishing discriminant in $(L_1^+)^{\circ} := \{X_3 < A_1\}$ **then**
 Construct an affine map ψ on $\mathbb{C}[x_3, x_2]$ with $\psi(x_3) = x_3$ and a polynomial $f_{1r}(x_3)$ adapted to $\tilde{g}$ using Lemma 4.8. We can construct it from a slight variant of the first subroutine using only horizontal and vertical lines.
 $f_3 \leftarrow f_{1r}(f_3(x_1)); \quad g \leftarrow g \circ \psi;$
 $J \leftarrow \langle x_3 - f_3 \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2, x_3]; \quad I \leftarrow \langle g \rangle + J \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2, x_3].$
 if $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a locally reducible vertex $v_2 = (B_1, B_2)$ with vanishing discriminant and a horizontal line $L_2 = \{X_2 = B_2\}$ through it such that the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ is not on the visible side of L_2 **then**
 $f_4 \leftarrow$ lifting of the tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along L_2 adapted to g ;
 $J \leftarrow J + \langle x_4 - f_4 \rangle; \quad I \leftarrow \langle g \rangle + J \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]; \quad \tilde{g} \leftarrow I \cap \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_4];$
 if $\tilde{g}$ contains a locally reducible vertex ω with vanishing discriminant in $(L_2^+)^{\circ} := \{X_4 < B_2\}$ **then**
 Construct an affine map ψ on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_4]$ with $\psi(x_4) = x_4$ and a polynomial $h_{2r}(x_4)$ adapted to $\tilde{g}$ using Lemma 4.8.
 $f_4 \leftarrow h_{2r}(f_4(x_2)); \quad g \leftarrow g \circ \psi; \quad f_3 \leftarrow f_3(x_1 - \psi(0));$
 $J \leftarrow \langle x_3 - f_3, x_4 - f_4 \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]; \quad I \leftarrow \langle g \rangle + J \subset \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4].$
return I .

Algorithm 1: Repairing the cycle of a tropical plane elliptic cubic using linear tropical modifications and special linear re-embeddings.

The following two examples illustrate the two key steps involved in the proof of Theorem 4.1:

Example 4.9. Consider the plane elliptic cubic with defining equation:

$$g = -t^3 x^3 + (t^4 + t^5)x^2 y + (-t^5 + t^6)xy^2 + t^3 y^3 + (t^2 - t^3)x^2 + 4xy + (2t^2 + 3t^3)y^2 + 2x + (2 + 2t)y + (1 + t).$$

Its j -invariant has valuation -8. The tropical curve is depicted on the right of Figure 13. It contains a cycle of length 6 that needs to be prolonged. We do so in two steps. The bottom picture shows the Newton subdivision of the input curve and the output linear re-embedding on each iteration.

In the first step, we modify the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ along the vertical line $X = 0$, corresponding to the tropical function $\max\{X, 0\}$. The vertex $(0, 0)$ has valency four and lies on this vertical line. Its discriminant equals $\Delta_{(0,0)} = c_{1,1}c_{0,0} - c_{1,0}c_{0,1}$ and it vanishes at $\text{in}_{(0,0)}(g)$. By choosing the special lifting $f_1 = x + 1/2$ and the curve $g_1(z, y) = g(z - 1/2, y)$, we prolong our cycle by decontracting a bounded edge in σ_3° , as we see in the center of Figure 13. Viewed in the projection $\text{Trop}(g_1) = \pi_{YZ}(\text{Trop}(I_{g,x+1/2}))$, the new cycle has length seven, so it is still too short.




FIGURE 13. Two iterations of the repairing algorithm for a plane elliptic cubic. The bottom row depicts the dual subdivisions, induced by the indicated heights. The heights of the red points change by the two linear tropical modifications.

For our second iteration, we start with the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g_1)$ in the ZY -plane and the locally reducible vertex $(-1, 0)$, whose discriminant vanishes on $\text{in}_{(-1,0)}(g_1)$. The YZ -plane is given as the union of the cells $\sigma_2 \cup \sigma_3$. We modify it along the vertical line $Z = -1$ corresponding to the tropical polynomial $\max\{Z, -1\}$, depicted on the center of Figure 13. We choose the lifting $f_2 = z + t/2$, a new variable s and tropicalize the ideal $I_{g_1, f_2} = \langle g_1, s - f_2 \rangle$. Write $g_2(s, y) = g_1(s - t/2, y) = I_{g, f_1, f_2} \cap \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}[s, y]$. When projected to the SY -plane we observe the curve $\text{Trop}(g_2)$, living in the union of the cells σ_{32}, σ_{33} and σ_{22} in the modified plane, as in the left of Figure 13. The re-embedded and projected curve $\text{Trop}(g_1)$ from our first iteration lies in the cells σ_{31}, σ_{32} and σ_{22} , as in the center of Figure 13.

We combine the two modifications in one step and make the corresponding affine coordinate change to give the desired special linear re-embedding of C in dimension 2, namely $\langle g(s - (1/2 + t/2), y) \rangle$. The cycle in the new tropical curve has length 8, as desired. $\diamond$

The next example illustrates the behavior of Algorithm 1 in the presence of two locally reducible vertices with vanishing discriminants on the top left and bottom right of the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$, and when more than two linear tropical modifications are required to achieve the desired cycle length.




FIGURE 14. Three iterations of Algorithm 1 repair the middle tropical elliptic cubic. We draw the relevant four projections in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f_1,f_2,f_3})$, indicating the corresponding images of all the vertices of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f_1,f_2,f_3} \cap \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))[y, z_1, z_2, z_3])$.




FIGURE 15. Dual subdivisions (and heights) to the plane tropical curves obtained from five coordinate projections of I_{g,f_1,f_2,f_3} .

Example 4.10. Consider the plane elliptic cubic with bad reduction defined by the equation

$$g(x, y) = x^3 + (1 - 9t^2)x^2y + 2t^4xy^2 + t^{20}y^3 + (1 - 24t^9 - t^{40})x^2 + (1 + 5t - 16t^9 + 144t^{11})xy + 8t^{67}y^2 + (1 - 16t^9 + t^{15} + 192t^{18})x + (2t^4 + 64t^{18} - 576t^{20})y + (1 - 8t^9 + 64t^{18} - 8t^{24}).$$

Its j -invariant has valuation -15 . The corresponding tropical curve has a cycle of lattice length 12 and is depicted at the curve of Figure 14. It contains two locally reducible vertices with vanishing discriminant, namely $(0, 0)$ and $(-4, 4)$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we repair the embeddings by treating these two vertices independently. We will need three linear tropical modifications, namely along the lines $X = 0$, $Y = 4$ and $X = -4$. Their 2-dimensional charts are depicted in Figure 14. We choose the special liftings induced by the functions $f_1 = x + 1$, $f_2 = y + t^{-4}/2$ and $f_3 = x - 2t^4$. Figure 15 shows the Newton subdivision of five planar projections. Notice that $(1, 1)$ is not a vertex of the leftmost subdivision. Even though the vertex $(-5, 3)$ in the projection of the curve to the (Z_3, Z_2) -coordinates is locally reducible, its local discriminant does not vanish, so its multiplicity in σ_{133}° equals 1. By Proposition 2.3, its multiplicity in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f_1,f_2,f_3})$ is also 1.

We reconstruct the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f_1,f_2,f_3})$ by looking at the tropical plane curves $\text{Trop}(g)$, $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g}(z_1, y))$ and $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g}(x, z_2))$ and using Lemma 2.2. The cycle of this curve in $\mathbb{R}^5$ is on the visible side of the hyperplane $X = -4$. Thus, we can project the curve and the ambient plane to the space corresponding to the variables y, z_1, z_2, z_3 , and still repair the embedding of the original plane elliptic curve. The resulting ideal is $\langle g(z_3 - 2t^4, y), z_2 - y - t^{-4}/2, z_3 - z_1 + 1 + 2t^4 \rangle$. Its tropicalization in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is shown in Figure 16. Its cycle reflects the j -invariant of the input curve. $\diamond$

Remark 4.11. Assume C is a plane elliptic cubic C with bad reduction defined by g . Suppose that its tropicalization $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains no cycle but one of its bounded edges e has tropical multiplicity $m_{\text{Trop}}(e) > 1$ and non-vanishing discriminant. Then the combinatorics of the Newton polygon of




FIGURE 16. Tropical elliptic cubic repaired in $\mathbb{R}^4$ using Algorithm 1. The coordinates are labeled (Z_3, Y, Z_1, Z_2) and the color coding agrees with Figure 14.

g ensure that $m_{\text{Trop}}(e) = 2$. Theorem 3.4 guarantees that we can unfold this double edge and produce a cycle by a special linear re-embedding.

For simplicity, assume that the double edge e of $\text{Trop}(g)$ is vertical. Then the push-forward formula for multiplicities [3, Theorem 8.4] applied to the projection π_{ZY} ensures that the cycle in the re-embedded curve contains only multiplicity one edges, and so does its projection $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$. If, in addition, the cycle in $\text{Trop}(\tilde{g})$ is trivalent, we conclude by [3, Theorems 6.23 and 6.24] that this cycle is isometric to the minimal skeleton of the complete analytic elliptic curve $\hat{C}^{\text{an}}$ under the tropicalization map (2.6). Example 3.13 illustrates this phenomenon.

We end this section with an easy characterization of tropical faithfulness on cycles of tropical plane elliptic cubics whose j -invariant has negative valuation. As expected, this result also follows from [3, Section 6], but our approach makes it easier to verify in concrete examples.

Corollary 4.12. *Let C be a plane elliptic cubic with defining equation g whose j -invariant has negative valuation. Then its tropicalization faithfully represents the minimal skeleton of the complete curve $\hat{C}^{\text{an}}$ if and only if it contains a cycle and when restricted to this cycle the following hold:*

- (i) *all edges have multiplicity one,*
- (ii) *all vertices are either locally irreducible or they are locally reducible and with non-vanishing discriminant.*

Furthermore, the cycle in $\text{Trop}(g)$ has length $-\text{val}(j(g))$.

Proof. Since the Newton polygon of g equals 3 times the unit two-simplex, and $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a cycle, we know that the only locally reducible vertices in its cycle are dual to those in Figure 10 (up to reflections). By Corollary 2.13, any such locally reducible vertex with $m_{\text{Trop}}(v) = 2$ must have a vanishing discriminant. The result follows from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 2.8. $\square$

4.3. Repairing smooth plane elliptic cubics with A -discriminants. In this section, we show how we can repair bad embeddings of plane elliptic cubics in an elementary way, without relying on their Berkovich skeleta. Our goal is to reprove Theorem 4.1 by combinatorial means, and in particular to show how we can use linear re-embeddings to ensure that the length of the cycle of the re-embedded tropical curve equals the negative valuation of the j -invariant (see [15]). Our main

tool will be the theory of A -discriminants, introduced in Section 2.3. The results presented here hold for arbitrary planar configurations and might be of independent interest.

As a motivation, we start with a configuration in the dilated 2-simplex corresponding to a plane elliptic cubic. We keep the notation from Section 4.1, and fix a defining equation g for C with prescribed valuations of coefficients inducing a tropical plane elliptic curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ containing a cycle. We assume the cycle is shorter than expected. As we saw in Section 4.1, this is caused by the cancellation of an initial form of the discriminant polynomial Δ , when evaluated at the coefficients of g . Algorithm 1 uses the vanishing of a local discriminant to repair the cycle. Corollary 4.18 explains the connection between these two facts through a factorization formula to describe initial forms of A -discriminants in terms of discriminants of an induced marked coherent subdivision of the convex hull of A , i.e. the Newton subdivision of g .

Let us fix a full-dimensional point configuration A in $\mathbb{Z}^k$, and let Q be the convex hull of A . Given any subset B of A we denote by $\mathbb{Z} \cdot B$, respectively $\mathbb{R} \cdot B$, the linear span of B over $\mathbb{Z}$, respectively over $\mathbb{R}$. In addition, we define the lattice index

$$(4.2) \quad i(B, A) = [\mathbb{Z} \cdot A \cap \mathbb{R} \cdot B : \mathbb{Z} \cdot B].$$

We write $i(B) = i(B, \mathbb{Z}^k)$. We work with both discriminant cycles and principal determinants of the configuration A as defined in [12, Chapter 10] and discussed further in [8]. Rather than defining the principal determinant $E_A \in \mathbb{Z}[c_a : a \in A]$ and the corresponding cycle $\tilde{E}_A := E_A^{i(A)}$ for a configuration $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$ on its own, we choose to present it in terms of the prime factorization formula from [12, Theorem 1.2, § 10.1.B] adapted to the case when the condition $i(A) = 1$ is not required (as in Esterov [10, Proposition 3.10]):

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{E}_A := \pm \Delta_A^{i(A)} \prod_{F \prec Q} \Delta_{F \cap A}^{i(F \cap A, \mathbb{Z}^2) u(F \cap A, A)}.$$

Here, $F \prec Q$ denotes a marked proper face of the polytope Q and g represents a bivariate Laurent polynomial supported on A . The lattice indices $i(A)$ and $i(F \cap A, A)$ are defined as in (4.2). The exponents $u(F \cap A, A)$ refer to the *generalized subdiagram volume* associated to F and A viewed in the ambient lattice $\mathbb{Z}^k$. They are computed as follows. We fix the linear projection $\pi: \mathbb{R} \cdot A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cdot A / \mathbb{R} \cdot F$ and let Ω be the normalized volume form on $\mathbb{R} \cdot A / \mathbb{R} \cdot F$. The normalization is performed with respect to the lattice $\pi(\mathbb{Z}^k)$, so that the fundamental domain $\mathbb{R} \cdot A / (\mathbb{R} \cdot F + \mathbb{Z}^k)$ has volume $(\dim(\mathbb{R} \cdot A) - \dim(\mathbb{R} \cdot F))!$. We set

$$(4.4) \quad u(F \cap A, A) := \Omega(\text{conv}(\pi(A)) \setminus \text{conv}(\pi(A \setminus F \cap A))).$$

Remark 4.13. The positive integers $u(F \cap A, A)$ are denoted by $c^{F \cap A, A}$ in [10, §2.5]. When $i(A) = 1$, the definition of $u(F \cap A, A)$ from (4.4) agrees with the subdiagram volume form $u(\mathbb{Z} \cdot A / (F \cap A))$ defined in [12, Chapter 5, Theorem 2.8]. For arbitrary A we recover the latter by renormalizing the volume form in $\mathbb{R} \cdot A / \mathbb{R} \cdot F$ with respect to the lattice $(\mathbb{Z} \cdot A) / (\mathbb{Z} \cdot A \cap \mathbb{R} \cdot F)$ and replacing Ω in (4.4) by this new volume form.

The subdiagram volume forms $u(F \cap A, A)$ from (4.4) and $u(\mathbb{Z} \cdot A / (F \cap A))$ from [12, Chapter 5] are related by the following identity over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.14. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$ be a full-dimensional point configuration and F be a face of the polytope $Q = \text{conv}(A)$. Then:*

$$i(F \cap A, \mathbb{Z}^k) u(F \cap A, A) = i(A) i(F \cap A, \mathbb{Z} \cdot A) u(\mathbb{Z} \cdot A / (F \cap A)).$$

Proof. Since all vertices of Q lie in A , we know that $\mathbb{R} \cdot F = \mathbb{R} \cdot (F \cap A)$ for every face F of Q . The result follows from Remark 4.13 and the identity

$$i(A) = i(F \cap A, \mathbb{Z}^k) i(F \cap A, \mathbb{Z} \cdot A)^{-1} [\mathbb{Z}^k / (\mathbb{R} \cdot F \cap \mathbb{Z}^k) : \mathbb{Z} \cdot A / (\mathbb{R} \cdot F \cap \mathbb{Z} \cdot A)]. \quad \square$$

From now on, we fix a full-dimensional planar configuration $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ with m points. We pick a tuple $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^m$ giving a height for every point in A . The tuple ω induces a marked subdivision $\mathcal{P} := \{(Q_i, A_i) : i \in I\}$ of the marked pair (Q, A) . Here, $Q_i = \text{conv}(A_i)$ for all $i \in I$ are the maximal cells in the subdivision $\mathcal{P}$. We aim to compute the initial form of Δ_A with respect to ω .

We let $\mathcal{E}_i$ denote the set of edges of Q_i for each $i \in I$, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of edges of Q . Let $\mathcal{E}_i^{\text{out}}$ be the set of edges of Q_i that lie entirely in the boundary of the polytope Q and set $\mathcal{E}_i^{\text{int}} := \mathcal{E}_i \setminus \mathcal{E}_i^{\text{out}}$ to be the complementary set of internal edges in $\mathcal{E}_i$. For $i \neq j$ we set $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^{\text{int}} = \mathcal{E}_i^{\text{int}} \cap \mathcal{E}_j^{\text{int}}$.

The following result is reminiscent of the combinatorial formula from [20, Theorem 4.1]. that computes initial forms of resultants as products of powers of smaller resultants.

Theorem 4.15. *Let A be a full-dimensional configuration in $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Then,*

$$\text{in}_\omega(\Delta_A) = \lambda \mu \prod_{j \in I} \Delta_{A_j}^{[\mathbb{Z} \cdot A : \mathbb{Z} \cdot A_j]} \prod_{\substack{j \in I \\ e \in \mathcal{E}_j^{\text{out}}}} \Delta_{e \cap A_j}^{i(e \cap A_j)(u(e \cap A_j, A_j) - u(\mathbb{R} \cdot e \cap A, A))/i(A)} \prod_{\substack{j < l \\ e \in \mathcal{E}_{j,l}^{\text{int}}}} \Delta_{e \cap A_j}^{i(e \cap A_j)(u(e \cap A_j, A_j) + u(e \cap A_l, A_l))/i(A)}.$$

All exponents are nonnegative integers, μ is a Laurent monomial and the constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and can be computed as

$$\lambda = \pm \prod_{j \in I} [\mathbb{Z} \cdot A : \mathbb{Z} \cdot A_j]^{\text{vol}_{\mathbb{Z} \cdot A}(Q_j)} \left(\prod_{\substack{e \in \mathcal{E} \\ \dim(e \cap Q_j) = 1}} [\mathbb{Z} \cdot (e \cap A) : \mathbb{Z} \cdot (e \cap A_j)]^{u(e \cap A, A) \text{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \mathbb{R} \cdot e}(e \cap Q_j)/i(A)} \right)^{-1},$$

where the volume forms are normalized with respect to the indicated lattices.

Theorem 4.15 is the main result in this section. We shall derive it by means of the following characterization from [12, Chapter 10.1.E, Theorem 12'] of initial forms of principal determinants of full-dimensional configurations $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$, adapted to the case when $i(A) = 1$ is not required.

$$(4.5) \quad \text{in}_\omega(E_A)^{i(A)} = \pm \mu \prod_{j \in I} [\mathbb{Z} \cdot A : \mathbb{Z} \cdot A_j]^{\text{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^k}(Q_j)} E_{A_j}^{i(A_j)}.$$

Here, μ is a Laurent monomial and the principal determinant E_{A_j} is evaluated at the restriction of g to those monomials supported on A_j . Combining (4.5) and the product formula (4.3) for each A_j ($j \in I$) gives the identity

$$(4.6) \quad \text{in}_\omega(\Delta_A)^{i(A)} \prod_{F \prec Q} \text{in}_\omega(\Delta_{F \cap A})^{i(F \cap A, \mathbb{Z}^2)u(F \cap A, A)} = \pm \mu \prod_{j \in I} [\mathbb{Z} \cdot A : \mathbb{Z} \cdot A_j]^{\text{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^k}(Q_j)} \prod_{j \in I} \Delta_{A_j}^{i(A_j)} \prod_{j \in I} \prod_{F_j \prec Q_j} \Delta_{F_j \cap A_j}^{i(F_j \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^k)u(F_j \cap A_j, A_j)},$$

where the product on the right-hand side runs over all proper faces F_j of Q_j .

We shall prove Theorem 4.15 by studying each initial form $\text{in}_\omega(\Delta_{F \cap A})$ on the left-hand side of (4.6) when $k = 2$, one dimension at a time. By definition, we know that $\Delta_F = c_F$ whenever F is a vertex of a polytope and a_F is its corresponding coefficient. Thus, we can incorporate all these Laurent monomials to μ and assume that $k = 2$ and all faces F and F_j in (4.6) are edges of Q and Q_j , respectively. The following lemmas simplify the exposition.

Lemma 4.16. *Let e be an edge of Q . Then*

$$\text{in}_\omega(\Delta_{e \cap A})^{i(e \cap A, \mathbb{Z}^2)} = \pm \mu_e \prod_{\substack{j \in I \\ \dim(e \cap Q_j) = 1}} [\mathbb{Z} \cdot (e \cap A) : \mathbb{Z} \cdot (e \cap A_j)]^{\text{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \mathbb{R} \cdot e}(e \cap Q_j)} \Delta_{e \cap A_j}^{i(e \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2)},$$

where μ_e is a Laurent monomial with support contained in $e \cap A$.

Proof. The result is an immediate consequence of (4.6) where we replace the starting configuration A by $e \cap A$ and the induced subdivision $\mathcal{P}$ by $\mathcal{P}_e := \{(e, e \cap A_i) : i \in I, \dim(e \cap Q_i) = 1\}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.17. *For every $j \in I$ and every $e \in \mathcal{E}_j$, the quantities $i(e \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2)u(e \cap A_j, A_j)$ and $i(A_j)$ are integer multiples of $i(A)$. If $e \in \mathcal{E}_j^{\text{out}}$, then $i(e \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2)u(\mathbb{R} \cdot e \cap A, A)$ also lies in $i(A) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. A simple calculation shows that $i(A_j) = i(A)[\mathbb{Z} \cdot A : \mathbb{Z} \cdot A_j]$ for all $j \in I$. Our claim follows from Lemma 4.14. Indeed, if $e \in \mathcal{E}_j$, then

$$i(e \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2)u(e \cap A_j, A_j) = i(e \cap A_j, A_j) i(A_j) u(\mathbb{Z} \cdot A_j / (A_j \cap e)).$$

Thus, $i(A_j)$ divides $i(e \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2)u(e \cap A_j, A_j)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, and hence so does $i(A)$.

Finally, if $e \in \mathcal{E}_j^{\text{out}}$, then $e = F \cap Q_j$ for a unique edge F of Q . Notice that $F \cap A_j = e \cap A_j$. Lemma 4.14 implies that $i(A)$ divides $i(F \cap A, \mathbb{Z}^2)u(F \cap A, A)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Since $i(F \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2) = i(F \cap A, \mathbb{Z}^2)[\mathbb{Z} \cdot (F \cap A) : \mathbb{Z} \cdot (F \cap A_j)]$, we conclude that $i(e \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2)u(\mathbb{R} \cdot e \cap A, A) \in i(A) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.15. By combining Lemma 4.16 with expression (4.6) we conclude that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{in}_\omega(\Delta_A)^{i(A)} \prod_{\substack{e \in \mathcal{E}, j \in I \\ \dim(Q_j \cap e)=1}} [\mathbb{Z} \cdot (e \cap A) : \mathbb{Z} \cdot (e \cap A_j)]^{\text{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \mathbb{R}e}(Q_j \cap F)u(e \cap A, A)} \Delta_{e \cap A_j}^{i(e \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2)u(e \cap A_j, A_j)} \\ = \pm \mu' \prod_{j \in I} [\mathbb{Z} \cdot A : \mathbb{Z} \cdot A_j]^{\text{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(Q_j)} \prod_{j \in I} \Delta_{A_j}^{i(A_j)} \prod_{j \in I} \prod_{e \in \mathcal{E}_j} \Delta_{e \cap A_j}^{i(e \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2)u(e \cap A_j, A_j)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu' = \mu(\prod_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \mu_e^{u(e \cap A, A)})^{-1}$ is a Laurent monomial. All polynomials in (4.7) are irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$. Rearranging the terms, we obtain the desired factorization of $\text{in}_\omega(\Delta_A)$. This follows by analyzing the edges $e \in \mathcal{E}_j$. If $e \in \mathcal{E}_j^{\text{int}}$, then e lies in the boundary of exactly one other polytope, say Q_l , so $e \in \mathcal{E}_{j,l}^{\text{int}}$ and $e \cap A_j = e \cap A_l$. The polynomial $\Delta_{e \cap A_j}$ appears only on the right-hand side of (4.7) and its exponent equals $i(e \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2)(u(e \cap A_j, A_j) + u(e \cap A_l, A_l))$. On the contrary, if $e \in \mathcal{E}_j^{\text{out}}$, then $e \notin \mathcal{E}_l$ for any $l \neq j$. Rearranging terms in (4.7), we conclude that the exponent of $\Delta_{e \cap A_j}$ in the factorization of $\text{in}_\omega(\Delta_A)^{i(A)}$ equals $i(e \cap A_j, \mathbb{Z}^2)(u(e \cap A_j, A_j) - u(e \cap A, A))$. Since $A_j \subset A$, this quantity is non-negative by (4.4).

Lemma 4.17 ensures that all exponents in (4.7) are non-negative integers divisible by $i(A)$. Since all discriminants in (4.7) have content one, we know that the rational number

$$\prod_{j \in I} [\mathbb{Z} \cdot A : \mathbb{Z} \cdot A_j]^{\text{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(Q_j)} \left(\prod_{\substack{e \in \mathcal{E}, j \in I \\ \dim(Q_j \cap e)=1}} [\mathbb{Z} \cdot (e \cap A) : \mathbb{Z} \cdot (e \cap A_j)]^{\text{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \mathbb{R}e}(Q_j \cap F)u(e \cap A, A)} \right)^{-1}$$

is in fact an integer number and its $i(A)$ -th root also lies in $\mathbb{Z}$. The latter is precisely the quantity λ in the statement. This concludes our proof. $\square$

Theorem 4.15 is particularly enlightening when A defines a cubic equation g , as in the case of plane elliptic cubics. As usual, we write $\{c_a : a \in A\}$ for the coefficients of g .

Corollary 4.18. *Let g be a cubic bivariate polynomial and let A be the configuration of points that supports g . Let ω be the weight vector corresponding to the valuation of all coefficient of g . Let $\mathcal{P} = \{(Q_j, A_j) : j \in I\}$ be the maximal cells in the Newton subdivision of g . Assume $(1, 1)$ is a vertex of this subdivision. Then*

$$(4.8) \quad \text{in}_\omega(\Delta_A) = \lambda \underline{c}_a^\alpha \prod_{j \in I} \Delta_{A_j}^{i(A_j)},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\underline{c}_a^\alpha$ is a Laurent monomial, and the product runs over all non-defective A_j 's. The discriminant Δ_{A_j} is evaluated on the restriction of g to those monomials supported on A_j .

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4.15 after the following observations. First, note that any pyramid is a defective configuration. All internal edges in the Newton subdivision of g have lattice

length one, hence $\Delta_{e \cap A_j} = 1$ for all $e \in \mathcal{E}_j^{\text{int}}$. Finally, assume $e \in \mathcal{E}_j^{\text{out}}$ is not a pyramid. An easy calculation shows that $u(e \cap A_j, A_j) = u(\mathbb{R} \cdot e \cap A, A) = 1$ because $(1, 1)$ is an internal edge of g . $\square$

As a consequence, we give an alternative elementary proof of Theorem 4.1. Indeed, write Δ for the discriminant of the elliptic cubic equation evaluated at the coefficients of g . We view Δ as an element of $\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$. By Corollary 4.18, the initial form of Δ factors as the product (4.8). We write $g|_{A_j}$ for the restriction of g to those monomials supported on A_j .

Notice that our first proof of Theorem 4.1 relied on an iterative usage Theorem 3.2, where both implications are needed. Going back to Theorem 3.2, we see that one implication, namely the one proved in Lemma 3.9, relies on Berkovich theory. The other, Lemma 3.8, is purely combinatorial, but requires a vanishing local discriminant as input, in order to repair a problematic vertex. In our first proof, we have deduced the local vanishing discriminant again using arguments from Berkovich theory, more precisely, Corollaries 2.10 and 2.13. In the elliptic cubic case, we can guarantee the vanishing of the local discriminant by means of Corollary 4.18, without relying on Lemma 3.9.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Assume $\text{Trop}(g)$ has a visible cycle but the j -invariant of g does not have the expected valuation. Then, $(1, 1)$ is a vertex in the Newton subdivision of g and [15, Lemma 23] ensures that the expected initial form of Δ vanishes at g . We conclude that $\Delta_{A_j}(g|_{A_j}) = 0$ for some $j \in I$. In particular, A_j is non-defective so Q_j cannot be a pyramid. The cubic condition implies that Q_j is a trapezoid of height 1 with a base of length 1. The corresponding vertex v dual to A_j lies in the cycle of $\text{Trop}(g)$ and is locally reducible. Using Lemma 3.6 and the proof of Lemma 3.8 we can prolong the cycle of the tropical elliptic cubic by a linear re-embedding induced by a linear tropical modification of the ambient space.

Conversely, assume none of the local discriminants Δ_{A_j} vanish when evaluated at $g|_{A_j}$. Corollary 4.18 ensures that Δ has the expected initial form. We conclude that the j -invariant of g has the expected valuation and so the cycle in the tropical elliptic cubic has the expected length. $\square$

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The polyhedral nature of tropical plane curves allows for many experimentations to devise algorithms to locally repair non-faithful tropicalizations by means of tropical modifications. In this section we provide three examples that shed light on some of the open questions discussed earlier in this paper. We view them as starting points for further investigations in this area.

Our first example extends the conclusion of Theorem 3.2 to a local reducible vertex of valency 6, which locally is the union of a tropical line with a reflected tropical line.

Example 5.1. Consider the plane curve in $(\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\})^2$ with defining equation

$$g(x, y) = (t + 2t^2)x^3y^3 + (1 - t^3)x^2y^2 + (1 + t)xy^3 + (1 - t^4)x^3 + (1 + 3t^2)x^2 + (1 + 6t)y^2 + (1 + t)y.$$

The corresponding tropical plane curve is depicted in the left of Figure 18. The vertex $v := (0, 0)$ is locally reducible. One of its components is the tropical line $F = \max\{X, Y, 0\}$. We perform a linear tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along F . We pick a lifting $f = x + ay + bz$ determined by special choices of $a, b \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$ with valuation 0 adapted to the curve $\text{Trop}(g)$. This modification produces six two-dimensional cones in $\mathbb{R}^3$, spanned by the rays of F and $-e_3$. We label them $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_6$ as in Figure 17. The cells σ_4, σ_5 and σ_6 are the ones attached to the tropical line F . For example, σ_1 is defined by the system $X, Y \leq 0$ and $Z = 0$, whereas σ_4 is determined by $X = 0$ and $Y, Z \leq 0$.

As Figure 17 illustrates, we assign different colors to the intersection of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ with each cone σ_i for $i = 1, \dots, 6$. This helps us see the image of each piece under the three projections π_{XY}, π_{XZ} and π_{YZ} , given in the top row of Figure 18. From left to right, these projections are defined by the polynomials $g(x, y)$, $g_1 := g(z - ay - b, y)$ and $g_2 := g(x, (z - x - b)/a)$, respectively. We need all three projections in order to reconstruction $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2,




FIGURE 17. A special linear tropical modification of a locally reducible vertex along the tropical line defined by $F = \max\{X, Y, 0\}$ (indicated with a dashed line), its lifting $f = x + y + 1$ and its effect on a given tropical plane curve.

the multiplicities on the edges of $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ mapping to the tropical line defined by F on each of the three projections are determined by the push-forward formula for multiplicities.

The choice of scalars a, b is done to emulate the conclusions of Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8. We analyze the contribution of the terms $c_{ij}(z - ay - b)^i y^j$ coming from all marked points in the cell dual to v , in all the monomials y^k of g_1 , for $k = j, \dots, i + j$. We proceed analogously with the curve defined by g_2 and see the contribution of $c_{ij}x^i(y - x - b)^j/a^j$ to the monomials z^k with $k = i, \dots, i + j$. The coefficients of these monomials have expected valuation 0. Our choice of a, b must be such that some of them have strictly positive valuation. To achieve this, we use the local discriminant $\Delta_{v^\vee}$.

An easy calculation shows that this local discriminant vanishes at $\text{in}_v(g)$, as in the situations covered in Section 3. Therefore, we have a chance of having a non-faithful tropicalization locally around $(0, 0)$. Indeed, by choosing $a = b = 1$ we see that this is the case: we manage to make the initial term of all monomials y^k ($k = j, \dots, i + j$) in g_1 and z^k ($k = i, \dots, j$) in g_2 drop together. These monomials are the red points in Figure 18. As a consequence, a bounded edge in $\text{Trop}(I_{g,f})$ with direction $-e_3$ maps to $(0, 0)$ under the projection π_{XY} . We see this phenomenon in Figure 17. $\diamond$

A second natural question that arises from our results from Section 3 is the following. Consider a plane elliptic cubic with bad reduction defined by g , but whose tropicalization $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains no cycle. Can we use linear tropical modifications to make this cycle appear via a special linear re-embedding? If so, does this method generalize to other Mumford curves? A positive answer to this question would provide a combinatorial effective way to test if a plane curve is Mumford.


As observed in Remark 3.12, Theorem 3.4 gives a positive answer to the above question when $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains a bounded edge of multiplicity 2 with non-vanishing discriminant (as we saw in Example 3.13). Our next example produces a cycle by modifying $\mathbb{R}^2$ along a high-multiplicity end.

Example 5.2. We consider the smooth plane elliptic cubic C with defining equation

$$g(x, y) = t^{10} x^3 + x^2 y + x y^2 + t^{11} y^3 + 3xy - 1.$$

Its j -invariant has valuation $\text{val}_j(g) = -10 < 0$. The tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ is depicted in the top-right corner of Figure 19. It contains no cycle and no bounded edge of high multiplicity.

The induced Newton subdivision of g appears on the top-right of Figure 19. The triangle containing $(1, 1)$ in its interior is dual to the vertex $(0, 0)$ of $\text{Trop}(g)$. Since the discriminant $\Delta_{(0,0)^\vee}$ vanishes at $\text{in}_{(0,0)}(g)$, we know the tropicalization map may not be faithful locally around $(0, 0)$.



The three tropical modifications are chosen as follows. First, we attempt to add a downward end e of multiplicity 2 to the vertex $(0, 0)$ in the cell σ_3° . We do so by means of a modification along $X = 0$, picking a lifting function $f_1 = x + \zeta_1$ with $\text{val}(\zeta_1) = 0$. Our choice of ζ_1 must be such that the discriminant of the attached edge e vanishes when evaluated at $\text{in}_{\pi_{ZY}(e)}(g(z - \zeta_1, y))$, to have a chance for the map trop to be non-faithful on e . Indeed, if this were not the case, the end e will be the image of two ends in $\Sigma(\widehat{C})$ by [3, Proposition 4.24] and the procedure will not yield a bounded edge of higher multiplicity. We find $\zeta'_1 := \text{in}_t(\zeta_1)$ using the well-known quadratic formula

We choose $\zeta_1 = \zeta'_1 = -1$. As a result, the Newton subdivision of $g_1(z, y) := g(z - 1, y)$ contains a quadrilateral with $(1, 1)$ as its unique interior point. This quadrilateral is dual to the vertex $(0, 0)$ in $\text{Trop}(g_1)$. For this lifting f_1 (and no other), both discriminants $\Delta_{(0,0)^\vee}$ and $\Delta_{e^\vee}$ vanish at $\text{in}_{(0,0)}(g_1)$ and $\text{in}_e(g_1)$, respectively. The curve $\text{Trop}(g_1)$ is depicted in the top-left of Figure 19.

Our choice of ζ_1 imposed by condition (5.1) allows us to find the desired value for ζ_2 . In this particular example, the value $\zeta_2 = -1$ satisfies all three requirements. Its initial term is completely determined by the initial term of the constant coefficient of g_2 , namely $(\text{in}_t(\zeta_2) + 1)^2$. The tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g_2)$ is depicted on the lower-right of Figure 19. It contains a bounded, multiplicity 2 edge e' inside the line $Z = V$.

In our final step, we look at the discriminant associated to the edge e' . By construction, $\Delta_{e'v}$ does not vanish at $\text{in}_{e'}(g_2)$. Even though the vertex $(0,0)$ has degree 4 in g_2 , we can mimic the




FIGURE 19. From right to left and top to bottom: Using 3 linear tropical modifications we make the cycle of a tropical plane elliptic cubic visible. The red lines and dots on the side pictures indicate the cells of the Newton subdivisions of g, g_1, g_2 and g_3 , and the monomials affected by each coordinate change.

proof of Theorem 3.4 to conclude that we can unfold e' via a tropical modification. Indeed, the linear tropical modification along the line $Z = V$ with lifting $f_3 = z + (1 + \sqrt{3})/2 v$ unfolds the edge e' and yields a faithful embedding on the visible cycle of $\text{Trop}(g, f_1, f_2, f_3)$. The projection to the UV -plane contains this cycle and is depicted in the bottom-left of Figure 19.

It is worth noticing that no other choice of ζ'_1 in our lifting function f_1 for our first modification allows us to produce a bounded multiplicity 2 edge in the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g_1)$ with a second linear tropical modification. As we mentioned earlier, if we choose $\zeta'_1 = -4$, any subsequent linear tropical modification will split the multiplicity 2 end on the top-left of Figure 19 into two multiplicity 1 ends after shifting the endpoint $(0, 0)$ in the southwest direction. $\diamond$

As we saw in the previous example, linear tropical modifications can help us draw some conclusions about the tropicalization map (2.6). In Figure 20, the extended skeleton $\widehat{\Sigma}(I_{g, f_1, f_2, f_3}) \setminus D_{I_{g, f_1, f_2, f_3}}$ contains a subgraph homeomorphic to $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f_1, f_2, f_3})$ via the map trop . Furthermore, the map trop is an isometry over the bounded part of $\text{Trop}(I_{g, f_1, f_2, f_3})$. Unfortunately, this procedure does not always yield a complete description of these skeleta.

Our last shows that linear tropical modifications may not suffice to unfold an end of a tropical plane elliptic cubic to produce a cycle.




FIGURE 20. The tropicalization of I_{g,f_1,f_2,f_3} repairs the tropical plane elliptic cubic by three linear tropical modifications of $\mathbb{R}^2$ (adding variables z, v, u , respectively). Here, $f_1 = x + 1$, $f_2 = y + 1$ and $f_3 = z + \frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}v$. We label the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^5$ by (X, Y, Z, V, U) . The color coding matches that of Figure 19.




FIGURE 21. We attempt to unfold a high multiplicity end with a linear tropical modification to produce a cycle. The endmost figures represent the effect on the Newton subdivisions of g and $\tilde{g}$, respectively. The coefficients a, b, c, d, q on the rightmost polytope give the unknowns in the A -discriminant of the interior triangle.

Example 5.3. We consider the plane elliptic cubic C defined by the equation

$$g(x, y) = t^{10}x^3 + xy^2 + t^{11}y^3 + x^2 + 4xy + 2x + 1.$$

This cubic satisfies $\text{val}(j(g)) = -10 < 0$. As we can see from the right side of Figure 21, the tropical curve $\text{Trop}(g)$ contains no cycle and has no bounded edge of higher multiplicity. The triangle dual to the vertex $(0, 0)$ has discriminant $\Delta_{(0,0)^\vee} = d^4 - 8bd^2q + 16b^2q^2 - 64acq^2$, which vanishes at $\text{in}_{(0,0)}(g)$. Likewise, the discriminant of the end e adjacent to $(0, 0)$ equal $\Delta_e = b^2 - 4ac$ and also vanishes at $\text{in}_e(g)$. Thus, $\text{in}_e(g)$ has a unique component of multiplicity 2. Since the end e has multiplicity two, [3, Proposition 4.24] and (2.8) imply that the fiber of trop over a generic point in e has either size 2 (with relative multiplicities 1) or size 1 (with relative multiplicity 2).

We attempt to unfold the edge e by a linear tropical modification along the vertical line $X = 0$. We want the coefficient $\tilde{c}_{0,0}$ to have strictly positive valuation. Therefore, our lifting function $f = x + \zeta$ must satisfy $\text{val}(\zeta) = 0$ and $1 + 2\zeta_0 + \zeta_0^2 = 0$ for $\zeta_0 = \text{in}_t(\zeta)$. There is a unique choice for such ζ_0 , namely $\zeta_0 = -1$. Unfortunately, the coefficient $\tilde{c}_{1,0}$ also has strictly positive valuation and the method fails to produce a bounded weight two edge, as we see on the left of Figure 21. $\diamond$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Erwan Brugallé, Arne Buchholz, Ilia Itenberg, Diane Maclagan, Thomas Markwig, Ralph Morrison, Bernd Sturmfels, Till Wagner and Annette Werner for very fruitful conversations. All the computations in this paper were done using the `tropical.lib` library for `Singular` [14]. The first author was supported by an Alexander von Humboldt Postdoctoral Research Fellowship (Germany) and by an NSF postdoctoral fellowship DMS-1103857 (USA). The second author was supported by DFG-grant 4797/5-1 and by GIF-grant 1174-197.6/2011.

Part of this project was carried out during the 2013 program on *Tropical Geometry and Topology* at the Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, where the second author was in residence. We thank MPI for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Allermann and J. Rau. First steps in tropical intersection theory. *Math. Z.*, 264(3):633–670, 2010.
- [2] O. Amini, M. Baker, E. Brugallé, and J. Rabinoff. Lifting harmonic morphisms of tropical curves, metrized complexes, and Berkovich skeleta. [arXiv:1303.4812](#), 2013.
- [3] M. Baker, S. Payne, and J. Rabinoff. Nonarchimedean geometry, tropicalization, and metrics on curves. [arXiv:1104.0320](#), 2011.
- [4] M. Baker, S. Payne, and J. Rabinoff. On the structure of non-archimedean analytic curves. In *Tropical and Non-Archimedean Geometry*, volume 605 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 93–121. AMS, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [5] V. G. Berkovich. *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*, volume 33 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. AMS, Providence, RI, 1990.
- [6] V. G. Berkovich. Smooth p -adic analytic spaces are locally contractible. II. In *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II*, pages 293–370. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004.
- [7] E. A. Brugallé and L. M. López de Medrano. Inflection points of real and tropical plane curves. *J. Singul.*, 4:74–103, 2012.
- [8] E. Cattani, M. A. Cueto, A. Dickenstein, S. Di Rocco, and B. Sturmfels. Mixed discriminants. *Math. Z.*, 274(3-4):761–778, 2013.
- [9] M. Chan and B. Sturmfels. Elliptic curves in honeycomb form. In *Algebraic and combinatorial aspects of tropical geometry*, volume 589 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 87–107. AMS, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [10] A. Esterov. Newton polyhedra of discriminants of projections. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 44(1):96–148, 2010.
- [11] K. Fukuda, A. N. Jensen, and R. R. Thomas. Computing Gröbner fans. *Math. Comp.*, 76(260):2189–2212, 2007.
- [12] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky. *Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., 1994.
- [13] W. Gubler. A guide to tropicalizations. In *Algebraic and combinatorial aspects of tropical geometry*, volume 589 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 125–189. AMS, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [14] A. N. Jensen, H. Markwig, and T. Markwig. `tropical.lib`. A SINGULAR 3.0 library for computations in tropical geometry, 2007. <http://www.mathematik.uni-kl.de/~keilen/de/tropical.html>.
- [15] E. Katz, H. Markwig, and T. Markwig. The j -invariant of a plane tropical cubic. *J. Algebra*, 320(10):3832–3848, 2008.
- [16] D. Maclagan and B. Sturmfels. *Introduction to Tropical Geometry*, volume 161 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. AMS, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [17] G. Mikhalkin. Tropical geometry and its applications. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 827–852. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
- [18] S. Payne. Analytification is the limit of all tropicalizations. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 16(3):543–556, 2009.
- [19] K. M. Shaw. A tropical intersection product in matroidal fans. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 27(1):459–491, 2013.
- [20] B. Sturmfels. On the Newton polytope of the resultant. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 3(2):207–236, 1994.
- [21] T. Wagner. Faithful tropicalization of Mumford curves of genus two. [arXiv:1408.0120](#), 2014.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, 2990 BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY 10027, USA.

E-mail address: macueto@math.columbia.edu

UNIVERSITÄT DES SAARLANDES, FACHR. MATHEMATIK, POSTFACH 151150, 66041 SAARBRÜCKEN, GERMANY.

E-mail address: hannah@math.uni-sb.de